

Kharmagtai Maiden Ore Reserve, Updated Mineral Resource

15.10.2024 | [GlobeNewswire](#)

TORONTO, Oct. 15, 2024 - [Xanadu Mines Ltd.](#) (ASX: XAM, TSX: XAM) (Xanadu, XAM or the Company) is pleased to report an increase in the Mineral Resource Estimate (Resource, Mineral Resource Estimate or MRE) and a Maiden Ore Reserve for its flagship copper-gold project at Kharmagtai, in the South Gobi region of Mongolia (Figure 1 and Table 1). The MRE update incorporates a revised cut-off grade to align to the marginal cut-off grade in the Kharmagtai Pre-Feasibility Study (PFS). The updated Mineral Resource Estimate and Maiden Ore Reserve are reported in concert with the PFS announced on 14 October 2024.

Highlights

- Kharmagtai Maiden Ore Reserve reported with approximately 1.6Mt Cu and 4.0Moz Au, comprised of Indicated material within the pit shells in the Kharmagtai PFS, but excluding the final pit phase at White Hill which is primarily driven by Inferred material.
- Significant increase in Kharmagtai MRE as Kharmagtai adopts the PFS¹ marginal cut-off grade for economic extraction within the open pit.
 - Open Pit Resource cut-off reduced from 0.20% CuEq to 0.13% CuEq to match the PFS mine plan marginal cut-off grade.
 - Contained copper increase from 3.8Mt to 4.7Mt Cu, or an increase of 0.9Mt Cu.
 - Contained gold increase from 9.3Moz to 11Moz Au, or an increase of 1.7Moz Au.
 - No additional drilling has been completed since August 2024. The MRE growth is exclusively due to change in cut-off grade aligned to PFS¹ calculations by Mining Plus.

Xanadu's Executive Chairman and Managing Director, Mr Colin Moorhead, said *"We are pleased to report Kharmagtai Maiden Ore Reserve in concert with the PFS results announced today. We have also aligned the Mineral Resource to the PFS marginal cut-off grades, which demonstrates the sensitivity of this project to that cut-off grade and the significant scale of Kharmagtai, which remains open along strike and at depth with significant growth potential."*

Table 1: Comparison October 2024 vs August 2024 Mineral Resource Estimate²

Resource	Cutoff (% CuEq)	Classification	Tonnes (Mt)	Grades			Contained Metal			
				CuEq (%)	Cu (%)	Au (g/t)	CuEq (Mlbs)	CuEq (kt)	Cu (kt)	Au (koz)
October 2024	0.13 (OC) 0.30 (UG)	Indicated	1,300	0.30	0.22	0.17	8,800	4,000	2,900	7,100
		Inferred	900	0.28	0.21	0.13	5,600	2,500	1,900	4,000
August 2024	0.20 (OC) 0.30 (UG)	Indicated	890	0.37	0.26	0.21	7,300	3,300	2,300	6,000
		Inferred	590	0.34	0.25	0.17	4,500	2,000	1,500	3,300

Notes:

- CuEq (lbs and t) accounts for Au (g/t) value and CuEq (t) must not be totalled to Au ounces
- Figures may not sum due to rounding
- Significant figures do not imply an added level of precision
- Resource constrained by 0.1%CuEq reporting solid in line with geological analysis by XAM
- Resource constrained by RV1400fpit (coded field equal to 1)
- Cut-off for Open Cut uses 0.13% CuEq, the marginal mine cut-off per the October 2024 PFS. Cut-off for Underground remains unchanged at 0.30% CuEq.

- Resource CuEq equation ($CuEq=Cu+Au*0.60049*0.86667$) where Au at USD\$1400/oz and Cu at USD\$3.4/lb was employed according to the Clients' (XAM) direction.
- Au recovery is relative with Cu rec=90% and Au rec=78% (rel Au rec=78/90=86.667% with number according to the Clients' (XAM) direction)
- Underground Resource "other 0p2 and 0p3" NOT inside RV1400fpit above and below nominated mRL level by deposit as follows SH>=720mRL, WH>=915mRL, CH>=1100mRL, ZA>=920mRL, ZE>=945mRL, PE>=1100mRL and GE>=845mRL
- Model: KH_ALL_GLOBAL_OKMOD_FINAL_V3_FORCLIENT_140624_inRV1400fpit

Table 2: Ore Reserve by Classification (Open Cut)

Classification	Tonnes (Mt)	Grades		Contained Metal	
		Cu (%)	Au (g/t)	Cu (kt)	Au (koz)
Proved	0	0	0	0	0
Probable	730	0.21	0.17	1,600	4,000
Total	730	0.21	0.17	1,600	4,000

Notes:

- Figures may not sum due to rounding
- Significant figures do not imply an added level of precision
- Ore Reserve constrained by Kharmagtai PFS mine plan inventory³
- Cut-off for Open Cut Ore Reserve uses 0.13% CuEq, the marginal breakeven mine cut-off per the October 2024 PFS.
- Reserve CuEq equation ($CuEq=Cu+Au*0.7039Au$) where Au at USD\$1900/oz and Cu at USD\$4.0/lb was employed according to the Clients' (XAM) direction.
- Au recovery is relative with Cu rec=80% and Au rec=81% according to the Clients' (XAM) direction

MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE UPDATE OVERVIEW

Xanadu engaged independent consultants, Spiers Geological Consultants (SGC), to prepare an updated Resource for Kharmagtai. The Resource has been reported in accordance with the JORC Code 2012, is effective as of 31st July 2024, and is shown in full in Tables 3 to 5.

This Resource is an update to the August 2024 Mineral Resource Estimate, which reduces the open pit cut-off grade from 0.20% CuEq to 0.13% CuEq in line with the PFS marginal breakeven cut-off grade. Open cut resources are reported within the same revenue factor 1.4 pit shell from the Kharmagtai PFS. The revenue factor 1.4 pit shell is being used to define RPEEE for the open pit-able MRE at Kharmagtai.

SGC considers that data collection techniques are consistent with industry best practice and are suitable for use in the preparation of a Resource to be reported in accordance with JORC Code 2012. Available quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) data supports the use of the input data provided by Xanadu.

The Resource is considered to have reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction (RPEEE) on the following basis:

- the deposit is located in a favourable mining jurisdiction, with no known impediments to land access or tenure status;
- the volume, orientation and grade of the Resource is amenable to mining extraction via traditional open-pit and underground methods; and
- a Scoping Study⁴ and PFS⁵ have been reported to ASX that demonstrate Kharmagtai economic viability as an open pit mine within the revenue factor 1.4 shell that constrains the open cut Resource using the selected marginal cut-off grade, at a range of metal prices and assumptions.
- The PFS has reported a maiden Ore Reserve based on Indicated Resource within the Open Cut Mineral Resource Estimate.

The Resource models are well understood and there is substantial upside potential to be realised by better understanding the economics of the deposit. As demonstrated in the images below, significant volumes of mineralisation have been modelled that fall outside of the constraining pit wireframe. These parts of the

model will be targeted for further investigation through economic studies to assess if more of this material can be brought into the Mineral Resource.

The total Mineral Resource Estimate includes material classified as oxide totalling 121Mt @ 0.31% CuEq which can be found in Table 5.

Figure 1: Plan view of the Kharmagtai district. Deposit outlines define the edges of the PFS open pit designs. Confirmed porphyry centres define zones where mineralised porphyry has been intersected in drilling. Geochemical targets represent areas of anomalous copper and gold.

Figure 2: Cross section through the White Hill Deposit showing open nature of the White Hill deposit with grades generally increasing with depth.

Figure 3: Schematic Cross Sections showing the cut-off grade reporting boundaries at White Hill. Red zone represents MRE open pit potential with a cutoff of 0.13% CuEq, blue area "outside open pit" and green area represents the area defined as underground potential with a 0.3% CuEq cutoff applied. These are shown separately as previous MRE's used this 915mRL level as the change from Open Pit and Underground.

Figure 4: Illustration of resource classification within the 2024 PFS pit shells, plan view.

Figure 5: Illustration of resource classification within the 2024 PFS pit shells, long section.

Table 3: Kharmagtai Project - Potential Open Pit Mineral Resource Estimate October 2024 reported at 0.13% CuEq cut-off grade, within the revenue factor 1.4 pit, by resource classification.

Deposit	Classification	Tonnes (Mt)	Grades			Contained Metal			
			CuEq (%)	Cu (%)	Au (g/t)	CuEq (Mlbs)	CuEq (kt)	Cu (kt)	Au (koz)
Stockwork Hill	Indicated	314	0.35	0.23	0.23	2,445	1,110	730	2,310
White Hill		704	0.30	0.23	0.13	4,578	2,080	1,590	2,980
Copper Hill		65	0.29	0.21	0.15	420	190	140	310
Zaraa		84	0.23	0.15	0.15	424	190	130	410
Golden Eagle		62	0.24	0.11	0.25	334	150	70	500
Zephyr		49	0.23	0.14	0.17	243	110	70	260
Exploration Potential									
Total Indicated		1,279	0.30	0.21	0.16	8,447	3,830	2,730	6,770

Stockwork Hill	Inferred	74	0.22	0.14	0.14	352	160	100	340
White Hill		361	0.26	0.21	0.10	2,100	950	770	1,100
Copper Hill		30	0.18	0.15	0.06	120	50	40	60
Zaraa		195	0.26	0.18	0.15	1,123	510	350	970
Golden Eagle		50	0.21	0.11	0.20	230	100	50	320
Zephyr		39	0.19	0.12	0.14	165	70	50	180
Exploration Potential									
Total Inferred		751	0.25	0.18	0.12	4,097	1,860	1,380	2,970

Notes:

- CuEq (lbs and t) accounts for Au (g/t) value and CuEq (t) must not be totalled to Au ounces
- Figures may not sum due to rounding
- Significant figures do not imply an added level of precision
- Resource constrained by 0.1%CuEq reporting solid inline with geological analysis by XAM
- Resource constrained by RV1400fpit (coded field equal to 1)
- CuEq equation ($CuEq = Cu + Au * 0.60049 * 0.86667$) where Au at USD\$1400/oz and Cu at USD\$3.4/lb was employed according to the Clients' (XAM) direction.
- Au recovery is relative with Cu rec=90% and Au rec=78% (rel Au rec=78/90=86.667% with number according to the Clients' (XAM) direction)
- Model: KH_ALL_GLOBAL_OKMOD_FINAL_V3_FORCLIENT_140624_inRV1400fpit

Table 4: Kharmagtai Project - Potential Underground Mineral Resource Estimate October 2024, reported at 0.3%CuEq cut-off grade, outside the revenue factor 1.4 pit, by resource classification.

Deposit	Classification	Tonnes (Mt)	Grades			Contained Metal			
			CuEq (%)	Cu (%)	Au (g/t)	CuEq (Mlbs)	CuEq (kt)	Cu (kt)	Au (koz)
Stockwork Hill	Indicated	14	0.46	0.30	0.29	138	60	40	130
White Hill		11	0.41	0.35	0.12	104	50	40	40
Copper Hill		-	0.33	0.23	0.19	2	-	-	-
Zaraa		13	0.47	0.33	0.28	132	60	40	110
Golden Eagle		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Zephyr		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Exploration Potential									
Total Indicated		38	0.45	0.32	0.24	376	170	120	290
Stockwork Hill	Inferred	26	0.42	0.32	0.19	238	110	80	160
White Hill		57	0.38	0.32	0.12	478	220	180	210
Copper Hill		-	0.38	0.34	0.09	4	-	-	-
Zaraa		81	0.42	0.30	0.23	750	340	240	610
Golden Eagle		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Zephyr		-	0.40	0.06	0.67	1	-	-	-
Exploration Potential									
Total Inferred		164	0.41	0.31	0.19	1,471	670	500	980

Notes:

- CuEq (lbs and t) accounts for Au (g/t) value and CuEq (t) must not be totalled to Au ounces
- Figures may not sum due to rounding
- Significant figures do not imply an added level of precision
- Resource constrained by 0.1%CuEq reporting solid in line with geological analysis by XAM
- Resource NOT constrained by RV1400fpit (coded field equal to 1)
- CuEq equation ($CuEq=Cu+Au*0.60049*0.86667$) where Au at USD\$1400/oz and Cu at USD\$3.4/lb was employed according to the Clients' (XAM) direction.
- Au recovery is relative with Cu rec=90% and Au rec=78% (rel Au rec=78/90=86.667% with number according to the Clients' (XAM) direction
- Resource "other 0p2 and 0p3" NOT inside RV1400fpit above and below nominated mRL level by deposit as follows SH>=720mRL, WH>=915mRL, CH>=1100mRL, ZA>=920mRL, ZE>=945mRL, PE>=1100mRL and GE>=845mRL
- Model: KH_ALL_GLOBAL_OKMOD_FINAL_V3_FORCLIENT_140624_inRV1400fpit

Table 5: Kharmagtai Project - Oxide Open Pit Mineral Resource Estimate October 2024, reported at 0.13% CuEq cut-off grade, inside the revenue factor 1.4 pit, by resource classification.

Deposit	Classification	Tonnes (Mt)	Grades			Contained Metal			
			CuEq (%)	Cu (%)	Au (g/t)	CuEq (Mlbs)	CuEq (kt)	Cu (kt)	Au (koz)
Stockwork Hill	Indicated	14	0.46	0.30	0.29	138	60	40	130
White Hill		44	0.26	0.19	0.12	249	110	90	170
Copper Hill		10	0.32	0.24	0.15	70	30	20	50
Zaraa		2	0.22	0.10	0.23	10	-	-	20
Golden Eagle		6	0.27	0.10	0.33	34	20	10	60
Zephyr		5	0.25	0.16	0.18	28	10	10	30
Exploration Potential									
Total Indicated		82	0.30	0.21	0.17	532	240	170	450
Stockwork Hill	Inferred	26	0.42	0.32	0.19	238	110	80	160
White Hill		4	0.21	0.16	0.10	20	10	10	10
Copper Hill		3	0.18	0.15	0.05	13	10	-	10
Zaraa		1	0.19	0.10	0.18	3	-	-	-
Golden Eagle		4	0.20	0.09	0.21	18	10	-	30
Zephyr		-	0.15	0.10	0.11	-	-	-	-
Exploration Potential									
Total Inferred		40	0.34	0.25	0.17	297	130	100	220

Notes

- CuEq (lbs and t) accounts for Au (g/t) value and CuEq (t) must not be totalled to Au ounces
- Figures may not sum due to rounding
- Significant figures do not imply an added level of precision
- Resource constrained by 0.1%CuEq reporting solid in line with geological analysis by XAM
- Resource constrained by RV1400fpit (coded field equal to 1)
- CuEq equation ($CuEq=Cu+Au*0.60049*0.86667$) where Au at USD\$1400/oz and Cu at USD\$3.4/lb was employed according to the Clients' (XAM) direction.
- Au recovery is relative with Cu rec=90% and Au rec=78% (rel Au rec=78/90=86.667% with number according to the Clients' (XAM) direction
- Model: KH_ALL_GLOBAL_OKMOD_FINAL_V3_FORCLIENT_140624_inRV1400fpit

MRE Supporting Information

Geology and Geological Interpretation

Geological data has been collected in a consistent manner that has allowed the development of geological models to support the Mineral Resource estimate. Copper and gold mineralisation is controlled by porphyry phases, oxidation zone, the level of veining, breccia, country rocks and barren dykes.

- Solid geological models were generated in Leapfrog for each of the deposits using the following methodology
 - Composite copper and gold grades to 10m intervals
 - Define cut-offs using changes in slope of histograms and cumulative log plots
 - Create raw grade shells for these using implicit numeric modelling (e.g. 800, 1500 and 4000ppm Cu)
 - Define the main dividing features/structures between populations (clusters of grade)
 - Build these structures in detail using grade, lithology, and structural information
 - For each compartment/fault block
 - Group the main lithologies into "like units"
 - Build geological shapes from these units
 - Re-build the grade shells within each compartment using information from the geological shapes to help constrain the grade shapes
 - Once each compartment was built, they were assessed in context with each other and refined so that the models made geological sense.
- Geological interpretation and wireframing were based on sampling results of drill holes and trenches, which were logged at 2 m intervals (average, tied to lithological boundaries during logging).
- SGC do not believe that the effect of alternative interpretations will have a material impact on the overall Mineral Resource Estimates.
- The geological interpretation is considered robust & alternative interpretations are not considered to have a material effect on the Mineral Resource. No alternate interpretations are proposed as geological confidence in the model is moderate to high. As additional geological data is collected from additional drilling, the geological interpretation will be continually updated.
- The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology are most likely to be associated with structural controls and local complexity the knowledge of which is considered at a moderate level with the current spacing of information. The broad approach to the mineralisation modelling is an attempt to model an unbiased interpretation.

Sampling techniques

- Representative ½ core samples were split from PQ, HQ & NQ diameter diamond drill core on site using rock saws, on a routine 2m sample interval that also honours lithological/intrusive contacts.
- The orientation of the cut line is controlled using the core orientation line ensuring uniformity of core splitting wherever the core has been successfully oriented.
- Sample intervals are defined and subsequently checked by geologists, and sample tags are attached (stapled) to the plastic core trays for every sample interval.
- Reverse Circulation (RC) chip samples are ¼ splits from one meter (1m) intervals using a 75%:25% riffle splitter to obtain a 3kg sample
- RC samples are uniform 2m samples formed from the combination of two ¼ split 1m samples.

Sub-Sampling Techniques and Sample Preparation

- All drill core samples are ½ core splits from either PQ, HQ or NQ diameter cores. A routine 2m sample interval is used, but this is varied locally to honour lithological/intrusive contacts. The minimum allowed sample length is 30cm.
- Core is appropriately split (onsite) using diamond core saws with the cut line routinely located relative to the core orientation line (where present) to provide consistency of sample split selection.
- The diamond saws are regularly flushed with water to minimize potential contamination.
- A field duplicate ¼ core sample is collected every 30th sample to ensure the "representivity of the in-situ material collected". The performance of these field duplicates is routinely analysed as part of Xanadu's sample QC process.
- Routine sample preparation and analyses of DDH samples were carried out by ALS Mongolia LLC (ALS Mongolia), who operates an independent sample preparation and analytical laboratory in Ulaanbaatar.

- All samples were prepared to meet standard quality control procedures as follows: Crushed to 75% passing 2mm, split to 1kg, pulverised to 85% passing 200 mesh (75 microns) and split to 150g sample pulp.
- ALS Mongolia Geochemistry labs quality management system is certified to ISO 9001:2008.
- The sample support (sub-sample mass and comminution) is appropriate for the grainsize and Cu-Au distribution of the porphyry Cu-Au mineralization and associated host rocks.

Drilling techniques

- The Mineral Resource Estimation has been based upon diamond drilling of PQ, HQ and NQ diameters with both standard and triple tube core recovery configurations, RC drilling and surface trenching with channel sampling.
- All drill core drilled by Xanadu has been oriented using the a Reflex orientation tool.

Classification Criteria

- The resource classification was based on drilling density in accordance with the estimation passes (and the availability of data to present to the search neighbourhood, including but not limited to, geological modelling data, oxidation, density and recovery data as well as data quality considerations).
- The classification criteria is deemed appropriate by SGC.

Sample Analysis Methods

- All samples were routinely assayed by ALS Mongolia for gold
- Au is determined using a 25g fire assay fusion, cupelled to obtain a bead, and digested with Aqua Regia, followed by an atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) finish, with a lower detection (LDL) of 0.01 ppm.
- All samples were also submitted to ALS Mongolia for the 48-element package ME-ICP61 using a four-acid digest (considered to be an effective total digest for the elements relevant to the Mineral Resource Estimate (MRE)). Where copper is over-range (>1% Cu), it is analysed by a second analytical technique (Cu-OG62), which has a higher upper detection limit (UDL) of 5% copper.
- Quality assurance has been managed by insertion of appropriate Standards (1:30 samples - suitable Ore Research Pty Ltd certified standards), Blanks (1:30 samples), Duplicates (1:30 samples - ¼ core duplicate) by XAM.
- Assay results outside the optimal range for methods were re-analysed by appropriate methods.
- Ore Research Pty Ltd certified copper and gold standards have been implemented as a part of QC procedures, as well as coarse and pulp blanks, and certified matrix matched copper-gold standards.
- QC monitoring is an active and ongoing processes on batch-by-batch basis by which unacceptable results are re-assayed as soon as practicable.
- Prior to 2014: Cu, Ag, Pb, Zn, As and Mo were routinely determined using a three-acid-digestion of a 0.3g sub-sample followed by an AAS finish (AAS21R) at SGS Mongolia. Samples were digested with nitric, hydrochloric and perchloric acids to dryness before leaching with hydrochloric acid to dissolve soluble salts and made to 15ml volume with distilled water. The LDL for copper using this technique was 2ppm. Where copper was over-range (>1% Cu), it was analysed by a second analytical technique (AAS22S), which has a higher upper detection limit (UDL) of 5% copper. Gold analysis method was essentially unchanged.

Estimate Methodology

- Ordinary Kriging technique was employed using third party software based on low coefficient of variation between samples in the mineralised domain.
- Grade interpolation and search ellipses were based on variography and geometry modelling outcomes.
- Modelling was conducted in three passes with block sizes being 20.0 m E by 20.0 m N by 10.0 m RL; discretisation was 5x5x2 for all project areas
- In the first pass data and octant criteria used were, Minimum Data=12, maximum Data=32, Minimum Octants=4. Search radii was 55 mE by 75 mN by 10 mRL.
- An expansion factor of 1 was applied so in the second pass saw the same data and octants criteria with an expanded search to 110mE by 150mN by 20mRL.
- The third pass saw Minimum Data=6, maximum Data=32, Minimum Octants=2. Search radii was 110mE by 150mN by 20mRL.
- Top cutting was applied to domains and elements which displayed a very strongly skewed nature as summarise in the report reference and in accordance with the prevailing coefficients of variation.

- Secondary attributes including the modelling of density which was also modelled on three passes (as above) which included the same data and octant criteria as above.
- No dilution was expressly added to the SGC model however the domain strategy was largely driven by geological and grade domains created by the Client (XM) and provided to SGC which tends to incorporate the full population range in the geological domains and a constrained population range in the grade domains in-line with the grade domain constraints.
- No assumptions were made by SGC regarding the recovery of by-products
- Copper, gold, molybdenum and sulphur were modelled as elements.
- Blocks in the model were defined based on the likely mining bench heights and the domaining took into account the SMU proposed at the outset of 4 m E by 4 m N by 2 m RL.
- The interpretation of domain model was largely driven by the lithology / geology, oxidation state, and structural intervention and mineralised trends observed over the various project areas. Grade was used as a secondary domain driver for the definition of boundaries where deemed appropriate by the XAM resource team.
- The model was validated in a third-party software using section and plan comparisons back to original informing data as well as with the use of swath plots to assess local grade variability between the model and informing data.

Cut-Off Grades & Basis for Selection

- Mineralised domain interpreted on grade $\geq 0.1\%$ CuEq inside the local interpretation solids by area with reference to local variability and geological consideration.
- Assumed to be reasonable cut-off for open pit and underground propositions given probability plot curve inflexions and grade population distributions.
- Resources estimated at a range of cut-offs and reported at a 0.13% CuEq cut-off grade for open pit, consistent with PFS open pit marginal cut-off grade, and 0.3% CuEq for underground public reporting.

Mining Factors or Assumptions

- This item is beyond the scope of work for SGC as such this item details were not addressed by SGC but will remain the responsibility of the Client and Client's representatives.
- Consideration was given by SGC to SMU factors, blocks in the model were defined based on the likely mining bench heights and the domaining took into account the SMU proposed at the outset of 4 m E by 4 m N by 2 m RL.

Metallurgical Factors or Assumptions

- No metallurgical factors or assumptions used to restrict or modify the resource estimation were employed by SGC proceeding or during the construction of the model. Metallurgical recovery was not modelled as an attribute of the model. To date metallurgical recovery analysis has indicated recovery of Cu% to be 82% and Au/g/t to be 81% overall.⁶
- The underlying Mineral Resource Estimate is yet to be updated for final met outcomes resulting from recent PFS work, however the cut-off grade is now aligned to the PFS which is based on the most recent metallurgical outcomes. This issue is not considered material to the estimate.

Other Material Assumptions and Additional Information.

For other material assumptions and additional information, please refer to Appendix 3, JORC Table 1 in this announcement and the following press releases.

- ASX/TSX Announcement 28 August 2024 - Update Increase in Kharmagtai Resource
- ASX/TSX Announcement 14 October 2024 - Kharmagtai Pre-Feasibility Study
- ASX/TSX Announcement 23 December 2023 - Kharmagtai Mineral Resource Grows
- ASX/TSX Announcement 08 December 2021 - Kharmagtai Resource Grows to 1.1 Billion Tonnes
- ASX/TSX Announcement 31 October 2018 - Major Increase in Kharmagtai Open-Cut Resource
- ASX/TSX Announcements 19 March 2015 - Kharmagtai Maiden JORC Resource

For drilling results between 2014 and 2024, please refer to company quarterly reports and press releases, available on the Xanadu Mines website at www.xanadumines.com.

ORE RESERVE OVERVIEW

During the completion of the Kharmagtai PFS (refer separate ASX release for the Kharmagtai PFS), evaluation of an Ore Reserve Estimate was completed. This includes Probable tonnes only. To support the Ore Reserve evaluation within the PFS a separate Whittle 4X open pit optimization evaluation was completed with no value given to the inferred classified material within the mineral resource estimate for all deposits. Following this a PFS level mine design, mine scheduling, mining costing and overall project economic model evaluation was completed to confirm positive economic outcomes for the Ore Reserve.

A summary of material assumptions is provided below and included in JORC Table 1 within this report. There are no material differences between the definitions of Probable Ore Reserves under the 2014 CIM Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves and the equivalent definitions in the JORC Code.

Table 6 - Ore Reserve by Classification

Classification	Dry Tonnes (Mt)	Copper Grade (%)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Insitu Copper ('000 t)	Insitu Gold ('000 oz)
Proved	0	0	0	0	0
Probable	730	0.21	0.17	1,570	4,000

Table 7: Ore Reserve by Deposit (all Probable)

Classification	Tonnes (Mt)	Grades		Contained Metal	
		Cu (%)	Au (g/t)	Cu (kt)	Au (koz)
Stockwork Hill	233	0.22	0.21	520	1,600
White Hill	437	0.21	0.14	930	2,000
Copper Hill	22	0.26	0.17	60	200
Golden Eagle	13	0.12	0.31	20	100
Zephyr	16	0.15	0.19	20	100
White Hill West	11	0.16	0.11	20	40
Probable	730	0.21	0.17	1,570	4,000

Notes:

- Figures may not sum due to rounding
- Significant figures do not imply an added level of precision
- Ore Reserve constrained by Kharmagtai PFS mine plan inventory⁷
- Reserve CuEq equation ($CuEq=Cu+Au*0.7039Au$) where Au at USD\$1900/oz and Cu at USD\$4.0/lb was employed according to the Clients' (XAM) direction.
- Au recovery is relative with Cu rec=80% and Au rec=81% according to the Clients' (XAM) direction

Material Assumptions for the Ore Reserve

Kharmagtai is a project at PFS stage based on a conventional open pit, truck and shovel operation feeding a copper concentrator. The Ore Reserve is supported by the PFS Study, and the Project is progressing to the Feasibility Stage. To support the Ore Reserve evaluation within the PFS a separate Whittle 4X open pit optimization evaluation was completed with no value given to the inferred classified material within the mineral resource estimate for all deposits. Following this a PFS level mine design, mine scheduling, mining costing and overall project economic model evaluation was completed to confirm positive economic outcomes for the Ore Reserve. A marginal breakeven cut-off grade of 0.13% CuEq was adopted based on economic parameters and recoveries determined as part of the PFS study. The Ore Reserve statement will be further updated at the completion of the Bankable Feasibility Study.

Ore Reserve Classification

The Probable Ore Reserve is based on Indicated Mineral Resources and diluting material within a regularized resource block model. Diluting material is either low grade Indicated Mineral Resource or

material carrying no grade. No Measured Mineral Resource is stated for this deposit. Resource classifications are based on an assessment of geological confidence as a function of geological and mineralisation continuity as outlined in the provided mineral resource estimate.

Risk and Mitigating Actions

Within the Kharmagtai PFS ASX announcement there is detail provided regarding risk and mitigating actions across the following areas:

- Infrastructure and water
- Regulation, permitting and ESG
- Funding

Water

The Project has identified a material risk to the project water supply. The PFS has partially mitigated the risk through exploration and pump testing in a nearby water basin. Water supply will continue to be a core focus of the BFS and will be actioned through a forward work plan in development and prospective areas delineated by the Kharmagtai study team, with important contributions from SRK, senior Mongolian hydrogeologists from Litho Exploration, the Oyut Ulaan government & community relations team, and O2 Mining. The Project has a substantial water resource established nearby which can supply in the range of 50 to 100 l/s of water, but additional make-up water will be required to meet the full Stage 1 demand of 350 l/s. The work during BFS will include a combination of additional exploration in nearby basins and commercial discussions with holders of regional water resources which are not fully utilised. The Stage 2 demand is anticipated to be met through additional borefield exploration, with a strong risk mitigation through the government's Kherlen Toono project, a major north-south water pipeline. Kherlen Toono is a national industry building program designed to support the mining industry in the Gobi and is currently in Feasibility Study stage. The pipeline will also serve as further risk mitigation for Stage 1.

Mining Operations Summary

The operation is configured as an open pit mine to achieve the highest value, lowest cost, lowest complexity, and most rapid development option. The PFS assumes a contract mining model for mining operations.

Mine Design

The PFS assumes mining will be a conventional drill, blast, load and haul operation. The mine plan is based on 10 metre benches consistent with the current Resource model, and results of an initial Standard Mining Unit assessment. Furthermore, equipment selected is appropriate for the planned selectivity and mining production rates for 10 metre benches. Further optimisation of the Selective Mining Unit (SMU), including bench height will be addressed in the BFS. Grade control will be undertaken from sampling of blasthole cuttings assayed in the on-site laboratory and also planned targeted grade control drilling during operation.

Mine Equipment

The primary mining fleet comprises nominal 600-700t hydraulic excavator (backhoe configuration for mine selectivity and wall control) and 195t large electric rope shovels loading ultra class (nominal 290t capacity) electric drive diesel haul trucks as a well-proven, flexible and efficient match suited to the planned scale of operations. Supplemental primary loading capacity and stockpile reclaim will be provided by 32m³ capacity wheel loaders.

For this PFS and the reserves mine planning, no additional estimate was made for mining dilution and loss due to the gradational nature of the deposit, other than the inherent dilution within the regularized resource model (20m x 20m x 10m) used for mining planning. It was also assumed that with this style of mineralisation the geological model incorporates some level of dilution.

Geotechnical Investigation

MineGeoTech was engaged to undertake geotechnical analysis for the project, which included evaluation of geological, structural and alteration environments, material strength, in-situ stress and rock mass quality. The data was used to undertake bench configuration design and overall slope stability analysis using 3D modelling. Slope recommendations were provided to guide pit optimisation by Whittle Consulting Pty Ltd (Whittle) and mine design by the Mining Plus team.

The PFS and reserve mine designs used a conservative bench configuration for the pit slopes and has developed a plan for additional geotechnical study during the BFS. This has potential upside to support steeper interim and final pit slopes.

Pit Optimisation and Operations Configuration

Xanadu engaged Whittle Consulting Pty Ltd (Whittle) to perform the pit optimization study. Whittle used Dassault Systèmes Geovia Whittle™ software to determine the estimated inventories to be mined, and to develop pit phasing strategies. They also used their Prober software to provide strategic guidance to the study configuration. The Resource in each phase was then scheduled on an annualised basis over the LOM using Whittle Consulting's proprietary Prober-ETM software for a variety of scenarios. The parameters are based on deposits and operations of similar properties providing confidence in the applicability.

Phase selection and analysis of the highest value mining sequence was performed on the deposits both individually and in combination.

The Stockwork Hill and White Hill phases overlapped considerably. The common areas were treated as a set of wedges to be mined as the deposits' phases required, depending in which phase was scheduled first.

The result was a total of 23 phases incorporating a number of wedges across the 5 deposits. An economic pit could not be defined for the Zarea deposit based on the assumed costs and metal prices.

Mine Design, Scheduling, Cut-off and Stockpiling

Mining Plus prepared PFS⁸ level pit designs based on the selected shells (or cutbacks) and used Deswik software to schedule the material in each cutback. The Deswik software was used to focus on material blending and stockpile utilizing the Deswik "Blender" module, with a detailed assessment of primary loading and haulage equipment requirements by year completed using Deswik LHS module to determine annual truck requirements considering detailed haul routes to all destination also including waste rock to be delivered to the two proposed TSF locations.

Deswik determined the multi-mine mining sequence and rate, elevated cutoff to the plant by "bin" varying over time, and stockpiling of lower grade material for processing later, to maximise NPV using the Study assumptions and constraints, and for only indicated resource material for the reserve mine design and schedule.

The mine cut-off grade analysis was calculated based on net sales less smelting, refining deductions, concentrate transport and royalties on a per tonne of ore feed basis regressed against CuEq % over the life of mine to work out a revenue per %Cu per tonne of feed. Unit costs and recovery assumptions were aligned to the PFS. Prices assumed US\$4.0/lb Cu and US\$1,900/oz Au. The marginal and breakeven costs are then calculated using costs per tonne ore in the financial model against this revenue factor. Marginal breakeven cut-off was determined to be 0.13% CuEq and was utilised for this update to the Open Cut Mineral Resource to align with the PFS, which provided a RPEEE.

The final mining capacity is staged from 100Mtpa to 140Mtpa with a vertical rate of advance limited to 10 benches per year (this constraint was not hit), and a processing capacity staged from 26Mtpa to 52Mtpa.

Sulphide ore is processed through the concentrator, while oxide mineralisation is moved to a designated

stockpile for potential future leach processing. A limited amount of gold rich oxide is processed in a CIL circuit.

The first eight years of production (Stage 1) uses predominantly material classified as Indicated (88% of the mine schedule), with other Inferred material stockpiled for processing over the remaining 21-year mine life (Stage 2). Approximately 73% of the 29-year Life of Mine Production Target is in the Indicated Mineral Resource category, and 27% is in the Inferred Mineral Resource category.

The outcome for the selected case is a multi-pit mine schedule mining 1,780M tonnes of waste, processing 1,270M tonnes of ore over 29 years of production to produce 2.1Mt of copper in concentrate and 4.9Moz oz of gold in concentrate and bullion.

Higher-grade ore is prioritised by using a varying elevated cut-off grade to the plant, with by year 9 of production up to approximately 270M tonnes are stockpiled for processing in later years. There is sufficient capacity within the footprints of the ore stockpiles and future waste dumps for this capacity of ore in year 9. The stockpile is depleted from this year until the end of the operations.

WASTE ROCK MANAGEMENT

The Kharmagtai Project comprises extensive systems of open pits, waste rock dumps, LG ore and oxide storage areas, tailings storage facilities, ROM pad, crushing and processing area, and associated roads and infrastructure, as shown in the Kharmagtai PFS Site General Arrangement Figure in this document.

The waste dumps will be constructed such that PAF materials will be encapsulated by NAF material. The as-built waste dumps are designed with a slope of 37 degrees and the final landforms with a shallower slope of 22 degrees to allow for mine closure. Further analysis of the final slope angle is planned as part of further work in the BFS.

An environmental geochemical assessment to support the PFS was conducted based on the available environmental geochemistry data to allow for an initial Acid Rock Drainage (ARD) classification of the waste rock material which was used to inform the design of waste management facilities, waste handling and management.

The PFS mine schedule indicated that approximately 63% of the waste rock material is classified as high sulphur waste rock or PAF-MS. Material earmarked for encapsulation (low sulphur, NAF-MS, pNAF_MS) comprises approximately 37% of waste rock as shown in the Figure below. During the PFS checks completed have confirmed, there is overall adequate material to achieve required encapsulation with recommendations for further detailed scheduling of waste rock, and dump design recommended in further studies.

Figure 6 - Classification of Waste Rock Material for Disposal over LOM

Based on the evaluation of the available geochemical data, only a conceptual waste rock management approach can be provided at this stage of the project. A more detailed Mine Waste Management Plan (MWMP) should be developed for the Feasibility Stage (FS), including laboratory testwork to validate and revise material classification.

A conceptual-level closure cost estimate of earthworks associated with the closure and rehabilitation of planned waste rock dumps, stockpiles and infrastructure, was completed as part of the PFS. The estimate is developed as part of an estimate of potential financial obligations following the immediate cessation of mining activities should this occur during planned mining activities.

The high-level analysis encompasses major cost components associated with bulk earth movements for

dozing waste dump batters, ripping, developing access roads, capping the tailings facilities, spreading topsoil, and seeding. Mineralised stockpiles including the ROM pad, are assumed to have been processed. The Oxide dump is assumed not to have been processed and will require rehabilitation. The processing plant and all buildings are assumed to have been removed.

At the time of this estimate the future land use, rehabilitation objectives and permitting guidance has not been defined, accordingly the estimate is based on generally practised (Globally) industry standards, to return the mine site to a use similar to its current use prior to mining.

The waste dumps will be profiled to be similar to regional topography based on topographical data, and other information shared by the Xanadu project stakeholders, and also considering observations from the completed site visit by the mining competent person on 15-16 April 2024.

The topsoil and NAF mine waste balance will need to be monitored during operations such that sufficient sources are readily available to implement the coverages in this estimate. Further studies such as a feasibility study should also include more detailed scheduling of both of topsoil and NAF mine waste rock, to ensure movements are adequate and if stockpiling of material may be required.

Mineral Processing

DRA Global completed the PFS process engineering study that demonstrates that a conventional, low risk copper concentrator producing a copper-gold concentrate and gold dore is appropriate for the Project.

A range of options were assessed in concert with the metallurgical test program, based on the option study outcomes, the PFS design for the concentrator was based on two stages, with a Stage 1 throughput rate of 26 Mtpa, fully duplicated in Stage 2 to 52 Mtpa. Modifying factors such as metallurgical overall recoveries for copper of 82% and gold of 81%, and processing costs, etc have been considered and used to support the ore reserve estimate.

Infrastructure, Logistics and Services

Kharmagtai PFS study infrastructure, logistics and services as outlined in the ASX PFS announcement also support the Ore Estimate reserve.

An important consideration is water. The South Gobi region has significant potential water resources which could meet all known demand including Kharmagtai projections. These lie in deep, non-potable industrial aquifers. While a large portion of these resources are not yet claimed, there are also third parties who hold resources but are not utilizing them. The Kharmagtai project has focused on both new and third-party sources.

The PFS project has identified a material risk to the project water supply. The PFS has partially mitigated this risk to its water supply and this will continue to be a core focus of the Bankable Feasibility Study (BFS) with a forward work plan developed. The project has a substantial water resource established nearby, but significant amounts of additional make-up water will be required to be identified to meet the full Stage 1 demand. Kharmagtai requires approximately 350ML/s make-up water supply for Stage 1, which is roughly doubled for Stage 2.

Make-up water supply costing has been included in the PFS. The make-up water supply risk will be de-risked for the operation, and requirements are expected to be met via a detailed technical and commercial work plan during 2025. The commercial plan will engage with other water rights holders who have underutilized resources and will prioritise based on environmental, social, sustainability, technical and financial outcomes. The exploration plan will progress based on a regional survey which has identified high priority targets near the operation. This plan was developed with contributions from SRK, a qualified Mongolian hydrogeologists from Litho exploration, the Kharmagtai government & community relations team, and O2 Mining. The PFS has conservatively costed a commercial supply to provide the additional Stage 1 water.

Furthermore, this plan addresses Stage 2 requirements are anticipated to be met through additional water

exploration. Risk mitigation for both Stage 1 and Stage 2 requirements will come from the Mongolian Government's Kerlen-Toono project (Blue Horse Water Infrastructure Program), which aims to bring a high-capacity water pipeline from the Kherlen River in northern Mongolia to the Gobi within 6-8 years. Kherlen-Toono project is already in Feasibility Study stage, partly funded by Oyu Tolgoi and Tavan Togloi operating mines, and is designed to support the mining industry in the Gobi region. The Kherlen-Toono project was identified within a resolution of the Mongolian Parliament to approve the Cabinet's 2024-2028 Action Program, which defines 15 specific nation building mega-projects.

Operations Strategy

The operating model selected for the PFS envisages Kharmagtai operating as a long-distance commute site supported by an office in Ulaanbaatar. The project targets Mongolian management with any expatriate roles aimed at training and developing Mongolians into management positions. Details are provided in the Kharmagtai PFS ASX release and supporting study.

Mining operations are structured as a 3rd party contract miner model. Mineral processing, tailings, on-site infrastructure, general and administrative tasks will be owner operated.

Regulatory, Social and Environmental

The work outlined in the Kharmagtai PFS ASX release and supporting study in these areas also support the Ore Reserve Estimate.

About Xanadu Mines Ltd

Xanadu is an ASX and TSX listed Exploration company operating in Mongolia. We give investors exposure to globally significant, large-scale copper-gold discoveries and low-cost inventory growth. Xanadu maintains a portfolio of exploration projects and remains one of the few junior explorers on the ASX or TSX who jointly control a globally significant copper-gold deposit in our flagship Kharmagtai project. Xanadu holds a 50-50 JV share with Zijin Mining Group in Khuiten Metals Pte Ltd, which controls 76.5% of the Kharmagtai project.

For further information, please visit www.xanadumines.com or contact:

Colin Moorhead	Spencer Cole
Executive Chairman & Managing Director	Chief Financial & Development Officer
P: +61 2 8280 7497	P: +61 2 8280 7497
E: colin.moorhead@xanadumines.com	E: spencer.cole@xanadumines.com
W: www.xanadumines.com	

This Announcement was authorised for release by Xanadu's Board of Directors.

APPENDIX 1: COMPETENT PERSON'S STATEMENT

Mineral Resource Estimate

Mr Robert Spiers is a full time Principle Geologist employed by Spiers Geological Consultants (SGC), 4 Martin Street, Mount Martha, Victoria, Australia. Mr Spiers is contracted on a consulting basis by Xanadu Mines.

Mr Spiers graduated with a Bachelor of Science (BSc) Honours and a double Major of Geology and Geophysics from Latrobe University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia and has been a member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists for 26 years; working as a Geologist for in-excess of 30 years since graduating.

Mr Spiers has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under

consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr Spiers consents to the inclusion in the report to which this statement is to be attached of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in the report to which this statement is to be attached that relates to Mineral Resources is based on information compiled by Mr Robert Spiers, a Competent Person who is a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists or a 'Recognised Professional Organisation' (RPO) included in a list posted on the ASX website from time to time.

Mr Spiers consents to the disclosure of this information on the page/s in the form and context in which it appears.

To the best Mr Spiers knowledge, neither SGC, himself and / or other related parties have any conflict of interest with by XAM in accordance with the transparency principle set out by the JORC code and supported by ASX rulings.

In relation to the above statement, Mr Spiers holds 750,000 ordinary shares in the ASX listed XAM entity purchased on market in accordance with the XAM trading policy (guidance notes 27). The aforementioned shareholding does not constitute a material holding in the company in question.

Mr Spiers has read the definition of "competent person" set out in the JORC code and guidelines for the reporting of Mineral Resource Estimates and certify that by reason of his education, affiliation with a professional association (MAIG) and past relevant professional work experience, that he fulfils the requirements of a "Competent Person" for the purposes of JORC 2012.

As of the date of this document, to the best of Mr Spiers knowledge, information and belief, the Public Release / Technical Report to which this statement is to be attached (in relation to the Reporting of the Kharmagtai Mineral Resource Estimation October 2024) contains all the scientific and technical information that is required to be disclosed in relation to the Mineral Resources to make the Public Release / Technical Report not misleading with respect to the sections for which Mr Spiers is responsible.

Dated the 14th day of October 2024.

Robert Spiers, BSc Hons, MAIG

Our Customer

This report has been produced by or on behalf of Spiers Geological Consultants (SGC) for the sole use by Xanadu Mines (XAM - the Customer). The Customer's use and disclosure of this report is subject to the terms and conditions under which SGC prepared the report. All items in the report must if used in a third party report be taken in context and consent from SGC must be sought on each occasion.

Notice to Third Parties

SGC prepared this report for the Customer only. If you are not the Customer:

- SGC have prepared this report having regard to the particular needs and interests of the Customer, and in accordance with the Customer's instructions. It did not draft this report having regard to any other person's particular needs or interests. Your needs and interests may be distinctly different to the Customer's needs and interests, and the report may not be sufficient, fit or appropriate for your purposes.
- SGC does not make and expressly disclaims from making any representation or warranty to you - express or implied regarding this report or the conclusions or opinions set out in this report (including without limitation any representation or warranty regarding the standard of care used in preparing this report, or that any forward-looking statements, forecasts, opinions or projections contained in the report will be achieved, will prove to be correct or are based on reasonable assumptions).
- SGC expressly disclaim any liability to you and any duty of care to you.
- SGC does not authorise you to rely on this report. If you choose to use or rely on all or part of this report, then any loss or damage you may suffer in so doing is at your sole and exclusive risk.

Inputs, Subsequent Changes and No Duty to Update

SGC have created this report using data and information provided by or on behalf of the Customer [and Customer's agents and contractors]. Unless specifically stated otherwise, SGC has not independently verified that data and information unless expressly noted. SGC accepts no liability for the accuracy or completeness of that data and information, even if that data and information has been incorporated into or relied upon in creating this report (or parts of it).

The conclusions and opinions contained in this report apply as at the date of the report. Events (including changes to any of the data and information that SGC used in preparing the report) may have occurred since that date which may impact on those conclusions and opinions and make them unreliable. SGC is under no duty to update the report upon the occurrence of any such event, though it reserves the right to do so.

Mining Unknown Factors

The ability of any person to achieve forward-looking production and economic targets is dependent on numerous factors that are beyond SGC's control and that SGC cannot anticipate. These factors include, but are not limited to, site-specific mining and geological conditions, management and personnel capabilities, availability of funding to properly operate and capitalize the operation, variations in cost elements and market conditions, developing and operating the mine in an efficient manner, unforeseen changes in legislation and new industry developments. Any of these factors may substantially alter the performance of any mining operation.

Ore Reserve

The information in this Study that relates to Ore Reserves is based on information compiled by Mr Colin McVie and Mr Simon Grimbeek, who are responsible for the Ore Reserve. Both Mr McVie and Mr Grimbeek are full time Managers and Mining Engineers employed by Mining Plus and are both Fellows of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. They both have sufficient experience relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity he is undertaking to qualify as the Qualified Person as defined in the CIM Guidelines and National Instrument 43-101 and as a Competent Person under JORC Code 2012. Mr McVie and Mr Grimbeek consent to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this Study that relates to open pit geotechnical analysis for the project has been reviewed by Dr John Player, BEng (Mining) (Hons) MEngSc (Mining Geomechanics) PhD MAusIMM(CP) RPEQ (Geotech). Dr Player is not an employee of the Company but is Director and Principal Engineer with MineGeoTech. Dr Player is a Member and Chartered Professional of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy; has sufficient experience relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity undertaken to qualify as the Qualified Person as defined in the CIM Guidelines and National Instrument 43-101 and as a Competent Person under JORC Code 2012. Dr Player consents to the inclusion in this report of the contained technical information in the form and context as it appears.

The information in this Study that relates to processing, metallurgy and metallurgical testwork has been

reviewed by Graham Brock, BSc (Eng), ARSM. Mr Brock is not an employee of the Company but is employed as a contract consultant. Mr Brock is a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy; he has sufficient experience with the style of processing response and type of deposit under consideration, and to the activities undertaken, to qualify as the Qualified Person as defined in the CIM Guidelines and National Instrument 43-101 and as a Competent Person under JORC Code 2012. Mr Brock consents to the inclusion in this report of the contained technical information in the form and context as it appears.

The information in this Study that relates to marketing and concentrate logistics was reviewed by Albert de Sousa, MAusIMM, BA, Graduate Diploma International Business. Mr de Sousa is not an employee of the Company but is employed as a contract consultant. Mr de Sousa is a member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. He has sufficient experience the style of mineralisation, type of deposit, and concentrate production and logistics, and to related activities undertaken, to qualify as the Qualified Person as defined in the CIM Guidelines and National Instrument 43-101 and as a Competent Person under JORC Code 2012. Mr de Sousa consents to the inclusion in this report of the contained technical and commercial information in the form and context as it appears.

The technical and scientific information contained in this document related to Kharmagtai PFS was reviewed by Julien Lawrence, MEngSc (PM), FAusIMM, B Eng Mining (Hons). Mr Lawrence is not an employee of the Company but is employed as a contract consultant. Mr Lawrence is a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. He has sufficient experience the style of mineralisation and type of deposit, and to the activities undertaken, to qualify as the Qualified Person as defined in the CIM Guidelines and National Instrument 43-101 and as a Competent Person under JORC Code 2012. Mr Lawrence consents to the inclusion in this report of the contained technical information in the form and context as it appears.

Exploration

The information in this announcement that relates to exploration results is based on information compiled by Dr Andrew Stewart, who is responsible for the exploration data, comments on exploration target sizes, QA/QC and geological interpretation and information. Dr Stewart, who is an employee of Xanadu and is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Geoscientists, has sufficient experience relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity he is undertaking to qualify as the Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the *Australasian Code for Reporting Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves* and the *National Instrument 43-101*. Dr Stewart consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

APPENDIX 2: ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS AND DISCLAIMERS

Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves Reporting Requirements

The 2012 Edition of the *Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves* (the JORC Code 2012) sets out minimum standards, recommendations and guidelines for Public Reporting in Australasia of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. The Information contained in this Announcement has been presented in accordance with the JORC Code 2012.

The information in this Announcement relates to the exploration results previously reported in ASX Announcements which are available on the Xanadu website at:

<https://www.xanadumines.com/site/investor-centre/asx-announcements>

The Company is not aware of any new, material information or data that is not included in those market announcements.

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements contained in this Announcement, including information as to the future financial or

operating performance of Xanadu and its projects may also include statements which are 'forward-looking statements' that may include, amongst other things, statements regarding targets, estimates and assumptions in respect of mineral reserves and mineral resources and anticipated grades and recovery rates, production and prices, recovery costs and results, capital expenditures and are or may be based on assumptions and estimates related to future technical, economic, market, political, social and other conditions. These 'forward-looking statements' are necessarily based upon a number of estimates and assumptions that, while considered reasonable by Xanadu, are inherently subject to significant technical, business, economic, competitive, political and social uncertainties and contingencies and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from estimated or anticipated events or results reflected in such forward-looking statements.

Xanadu disclaims any intent or obligation to update publicly or release any revisions to any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, circumstances or results or otherwise after the date of this Announcement or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, other than required by the *Corporations Act 2001* (Cth) and the Listing Rules of the Australian Securities Exchange (ASX) and Toronto Stock Exchange (TSX). The words 'believe', 'expect', 'anticipate', 'indicate', 'contemplate', 'target', 'plan', 'intends', 'continue', 'budget', 'estimate', 'may', 'will', 'schedule' and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements.

All 'forward-looking statements' made in this Announcement are qualified by the foregoing cautionary statements. Investors are cautioned that 'forward-looking statements' are not guarantee of future performance and accordingly investors are cautioned not to put undue reliance on 'forward-looking statements' due to the inherent uncertainty therein.

For further information please visit the Xanadu Mines' Website at www.xanadumines.com.

APPENDIX 3: KHARMAGTAI TABLE 1 (JORC 2012)

Set out below is Section 1 and Section 2 of Table 1 under the JORC Code, 2012 Edition for the Kharmagtai project. Data provided by Xanadu. This Table 1 updates the JORC Table 1 disclosure dated 8 December 2023.

JORC TABLE 1 - SECTION 1 - SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections).

Criteria	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Representative ½ core samples were split from PQ, HQ & N ● The orientation of the cut line is controlled using the core ori ● Sample intervals are defined and subsequently checked by ● Reverse Circulation (RC) chip samples are ¼ splits from one ● RC samples are uniform 2m samples formed from the comb
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The Mineral Resource Estimation has been based upon dia ● All drill core drilled by Xanadu has been oriented using the "
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Diamond drill core recoveries were assessed using the stan ● Diamond core recoveries average 97% through mineralisati ● Overall, core quality is good, with minimal core loss. Where ● RC recoveries are measured using whole weight of each 1m ● Analysis of recovery results vs grade shows no significant tr

Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● All drill core is geologically logged by well-trained geologists● Logging of lithology, alteration and mineralogy is intrinsically● Drill core is also systematically logged for both geotechnical● Both wet and dry core photos are taken after core has been
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● All drill core samples are ½ core splits from either PQ, HQ or● Core is appropriately split (onsite) using diamond core saws● The diamond saws are regularly flushed with water to minim● A field duplicate ¼ core sample is collected every 30th samp● Routine sample preparation and analyses of DDH samples v● All samples were prepared to meet standard quality control p● ALS Mongolia Geochemistry labs quality management syste● The sample support (sub-sample mass and comminution) is
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● All samples were routinely assayed by ALS Mongolia for gol● Au is determined using a 25g fire assay fusion, cupelled to c● All samples were also submitted to ALS Mongolia for the 48● Quality assurance has been managed by insertion of appropr● Assay results outside the optimal range for methods were re● Ore Research Pty Ltd certified copper and gold standards ha● QC monitoring is an active and ongoing processes on batch● Prior to 2014: Cu, Ag, Pb, Zn, As and Mo were routinely dete
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● All assay data QA/QC is checked prior to loading into XAM's● The data is managed by XAM geologists.● The data base and geological interpretation is managed by X● Check assays are submitted to an umpire lab (SGS Mongoli● No twinned drill holes exist.● There have been no adjustments to any of the assay data.
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● Diamond drill holes have been surveyed with a differential g● The grid system used for the project is UTM WGS-84 Zone 4● Historically, Eastman Kodak and Flexit electronic multi-shot● More recently (since September 2017), a north-seeking gyro● The project Digital Terrain Model (DTM) is based on 1m con
Data spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● The drill-holes spacing ranges from <50m spacing within the● Holes range from vertical to an inclination of -60 degrees de● The data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish an● Holes have been drilled to a maximum of 1,400m vertical de● The data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish ge
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● Drilling is conducted in a predominantly regular grid to allow● Scissor drilling, as well as some vertical and oblique drilling,
Sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● Samples are delivered from the drill rig to the core shed twic● Samples are dispatched from site in locked boxes transporte● Sample shipment receipt is signed off at the Laboratory with● Samples are then stored at the lab and returned to a locked

Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Internal audits of sampling techniques and data management ● External reviews and audits have been conducted by the following: ● 2012: AMC Consultants Pty Ltd. was engaged to conduct an internal audit ● 2013: Mining Associates Ltd. was engaged to conduct an internal audit ● 2018: CSA Global reviewed the entire drilling, logging, sampling and assay process ● 2024: Ted Coupland reviewed the 2023 Mineral Resource Estimate
-------------------	---

JORC TABLE 1 - SECTION 2 - REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections).

Criteria	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The Project comprises Mining Licence MV-17387A Kharmagtai, originally granted in 2002 ● Mining licences are granted for a period of 30 years, extendable twice, for 20 years ● The Mining License is held by Oyut Ulaan LLC, a Mongolian registered company ● The <i>Mongolian Minerals Law (2006)</i> and <i>Mongolian Land Law (2002)</i> govern the mining industry
Exploration done by other parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Previous exploration at Kharmagtai was conducted by Quincunx Ltd, Ivanhoe Mines of Africa ● Previous exploration at Red Mountain (Oyut Ulaan) was conducted by Ivanhoe Mines of Africa
Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The mineralisation is characterised as porphyry copper-gold type. ● Porphyry copper-gold deposits are formed from magmatic hydrothermal fluids
Drill hole Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Diamond drill holes are the principal source of geological and grade data for the Project ● See figures in this ASX/TSX Announcement.

- A nominal cut-off of 0.1% eCu is used in copper dominant systems for identification
- A nominal cut-off of 0.1g/t eAu is used in gold dominant systems like Golden Mile
- Maximum contiguous dilution within each intercept is 9m for 0.1%, 0.3%, 0.6%
- Most of the reported intercepts are shown in sufficient detail, including maximum length
- Informing samples have been composited to two metre lengths honouring the intercept

The copper equivalent (CuEq or eCu) calculation represents the total metal value

Copper equivalent (CuEq or eCu) grade values were calculated using the following formula:

$$eCu \text{ or } CuEq = Cu + Au * 0.60049 * 0.86667,$$

Gold Equivalent (eAu) grade values were calculated using the following formula:

$$eAu = Au + Cu / 0.60049 * 0.86667.$$

Where:

Data
Aggregation methods

Cu - copper grade (%)

Au - gold grade (g/t)

0.60049 - conversion factor (gold to copper)

0.86667 - relative recovery of gold to copper (86.67%)

The copper equivalent formula was based on the following parameters (prices are as at 31/12/2023)

- Copper price - 3.4 \$/lb
- Gold price - 1400 \$/oz
- Copper recovery - 90%
- Gold recovery - 78%
- Relative recovery of gold to copper = 78% / 90% = 86.67%.

Relationship between mineralisation
on widths

and intercept
lengths

- Mineralised structures are variable in orientation, and therefore drill orientation is important
- Exploration results have been reported as an interval with 'from' and 'to' statements

Diagrams

- See figures in the body of this ASX/TSX Announcement.

Balanced

reporting

- Resources have been reported at a range of cut-off grades, above a minimum of 0.1% eCu

Other
substantive
exploration
data

- Extensive work in this area has been done and is reported separately.
- This MRE and prior MRE announcements incorporate drilling results that have been reported in previous Mineral Resource announcements.
- Please also refer to previous Mineral Resource announcements:
 - ASX/TSX Announcement 28 August 2024 - Update Increase in Kharmagtai Mineral Resource
 - ASX/TSX Announcement 14 October 2024 - Kharmagtai Pre-Feasibility Study
 - ASX/TSX Announcement 23 December 2023 - Kharmagtai Mineral Resource
 - ASX/TSX Announcement 08 December 2021 - Kharmagtai Resource
 - ASX/TSX Announcement 31 October 2018 - Major Increase in Kharmagtai Mineral Resource
 - ASX/TSX Announcements 19 March 2015 - Kharmagtai Maiden JORC Resource

- | | |
|--------------|--|
| Further Work | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The mineralisation is open at depth and along strike. ● Current estimates are restricted to those expected to be reasonable for open-pit mining. ● Exploration on going. |
|--------------|--|

JORC TABLE 1 - SECTION 3 - ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF MINERAL RESOURCES

Criteria	Commentary
Database integrity	<p>The database is managed using Micromine Geobank software. Data is logged directly to the Micromine software used for the MRE.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The combined database was provided for the MRE. ● Validation of the data import include checks for the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Duplicate records ● One or more drill hole collar or trench coordinates missing in the assay file, ● FROM or TO missing or absent in the assay file, ● FROM > TO in the assay file, ● Sample intervals overlap in the assay file, ● First sample is not equal to 0 m in the assay file, ● First depth is not equal to 0 m in the survey file, ● Several downhole survey records exist for the same depth, ● Azimuth is not between 0 and 360° in the survey file, ● Dip is not between 0 and 90° in the survey file, ● Azimuth or dip is missing in survey file, ● Total depth of the holes is less than the depth of the last sample, ● Total length of trenches is less than the total length of all samples, ● Negative sample grades. ● No logical errors were identified in the analytical data.
Site visits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Site visits was conducted by SGC during the period 5th of September to 10th of September 2016. <p>Geological data has been collected in a consistent manner that has allowed for the following:</p>
Geological interpretation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Solid geological models were generated in Leapfrog for each of the different geological units <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Composite copper and gold grades to 10m intervals ● Define cut-offs using changes in slope of histograms and cumulative distribution functions ● Create raw grade shells for these using implicit numeric modelling ● Define the main dividing features/structures between population units ● Build these structures in detail using grade, lithology, and structural data ● For each compartment/fault block <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Group the main lithologies into "like units" ● Build geological shapes from these units ● Re-build the grade shells within each compartment using information from the raw grade shells ● Once each compartment was built, they were assessed in context of the overall model ● Geological interpretation and wire-framing were based on sampling results and geological data. ● SGC do not believe that the effect of alternative interpretations will have a significant impact on the overall MRE. ● The geological interpretation is considered robust & alternative interpretations are considered. ● The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology are most likely related to the geological structure.

Dimensions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● Stockwork Hill: The strike length of the mineralised zone is about 1,350 m.● White Hill: The strike length of the mineralised zone is about 1,800 m.● Copper Hill: The strike length of the mineralised zone is about 630 m.● Zaraa: The strike length of the mineralised zone is about 1,300m.● Golden Eagle: The strike length of the mineralised zone is about 400m.● Zephyr: The strike length of the mineralised zone is about 1,030 m.
Estimation and modelling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● Ordinary Kriging technique was employed using third party software.● Grade interpolation and search ellipses were based on variography analysis.● Modelling was conducted in three passes with block sizes being 20.0m.● In the first pass data and octant criteria used were, Minimum Data=12, Maximum Data=32, Minimum C=0.05, Maximum C=0.15.● An expansion factor of 1 was applied so in the second pass saw the same criteria.● The third pass saw Minimum Data=6, maximum Data=32, Minimum C=0.05, Maximum C=0.15.● Top cutting was applied to domains and elements which displayed a value greater than 0.15% CuEq.● Secondary attributes including the modelling of density which was also used in the model.● No dilution was expressly added to the SGC model however domain dilution was used in the model.● No assumptions were made by SGC regarding the recovery of by-products.● Copper, gold, molybdenum and sulphur were modelled as elements.● Blocks in the model were defined based on the likely mining bench heights.● The interpretation of domain model was largely driven by the lithology and geochemistry.● The model was validated in a third party software using section and plan views.
Moisture	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● Tonnages are estimated on a dry basis.
Cut-off parameters	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● Mineralised domain interpreted on grade \geq 0.1% CuEq inside the localised domain.● Assumed to be reasonable cut-off for open pit and underground properties.● Resources estimated at a range of cut-offs and reported at a 0.13% CuEq cut-off.
Mining factors or assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● This item is beyond the scope of work for SGC as such this item details are not available.● Consideration was given by SGC to SMU factors, blocks in the model and mining methods.
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● No metallurgical factors or assumptions used to restrict or modify the resource.● Recent PFS level Metallurgical test-work conducted during 2023 to 2024.● To the best of SGC's knowledge no further work has been conducted.
Environmental factors or assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● No environmental factors or assumptions were used to restrict or modify the resource.
Bulk density	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● Bulk density was estimated into block models and post processed on a dry basis.● In all 61,295 bulk density measurements were taken from non-specific locations.
Classification	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● The resource classification was based on drilling density (and the average grade).● The classification criteria is deemed appropriate by SGC.

Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● An internal independent review was conducted by Ted Coupland, of C ● Below are his main conclusions ● The Author has concluded that there are no fatal flaws or areas of sig ● The Author can replicate the publicly stated MRE numbers from the d ● Check estimates undertaken by the Author confirm the robustness of ● All data management procedures and protocols, including QAQC, are ● QC performance over time indicates a high degree of assay data inte ● The approach to domaining is comprehensive and well considered. ● There may be some opportunity to further simply the estimation doma ● The approach to grade estimation is well considered and robust. ● The approach to resource classification is reasonable, however, a mo
Discussion of relative accuracy/confidence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Outlines of resource classifications were reviewed against drill-hole d ● Mineral resource estimate technique was deemed appropriate by an i ● Total mineral resource estimate based on global estimate. ● No production data was available at the time the estimates were unde ● The block model was produced to represent global estimates, howeve ● The relative accuracy of the Mineral Resource estimate is reflected in

JORC TABLE 1 - SECTION 4 - ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF ORE RESERVES

Criteria	Commentary
Mineral Resource estimate for conversion to Ore Reserves	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The JORC Code (2012) Ore Reserve Estimate has b feeding a copper concentrator. ● The MRE Update used as a basis for the conversion
Site visits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The Mineral Resources are reported inclusive of the ● Julien Lawrence, overall study Competent Person, v ● Colin McVie, one of the Competent Persons for Minir ensure the data used for the study matches the field obser ● Numerous other members of the study team includin during the completion of the PFS study used as the basis o
Study status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The study presented is developed to a Pre-Feasibilit ● This includes a mine plan that is technically achievab ● Mine optimisation and strategic guidance for project ● Mine design and mine engineering were undertaken ● The PFS was undertaken by a team of industry profes approvals, environmental, governance, community, local co geotechnical engineering, metallurgical, and PFS discount ● The Pre-Feasibility Study shows that the mine plan is consideration all material Modifying Factors ● To support the Ore Reserve evaluation within the PF completed with no value given to the Inferred Resource wit Following this a PFS level mine design, mine scheduling, n completed to confirm positive economic outcomes for the C
Cut-off parameters	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● A breakeven cutoff of 0.22% CuEq was adopted bas the PFS study. The marginal cut-off was 0.13% CuEq. ● Breakeven and marginal cut-off grades for the Ore R account for the relative value of the recovered copper and price of \$1,900/oz. Recovery and unit cost assumptions ma ● The copper equivalent cut off grades vary over time maximise net present value (NPV).

Mining factors or assumptions

- Kharmagtai is a project at PFS stage based on a concentrator. The Ore Reserve is supported by this PFS Study. To support the Ore Reserve evaluation within the PFS a separate study was conducted with no value given to the inferred classified material within the PFS level mine design, mine scheduling, mining costing and mine plan. The study confirms positive economic outcomes for the Ore Reserve.

- There are no inferred Resources reported in the Ore Reserve Estimation to support the Ore Reserve Estimation.

- The copper-gold mineralisation at Kharmagtai Project is appropriate. Oxide mineralisation at Stockwork Hill, White Hill and Golden Eagle commences 25m to 45m below surface. At White Hill 20m to 35m of cover and sulphide mineralisation commences 25m to 45m below surface.

- The mining method was based on conventional drill, blast, load, haul, dump, rope shovels and hydraulic excavators loading electric drive haul trucks. This is an efficient match suited to the planned scale of operations. The mining method is consistent with the planned scale of operations.

- Geovia Whittle pit optimisation software was used to optimise the pit design based on the 2023 Mineral Resource. A validation check of the resource model as part of final project checks and completion of the project.

- The Ore Reserves estimate was created from a detailed pit design of the inventories to be mined, and to develop pit phasing strategies by varying the "Revenue Factor" (i.e. metal price assumption) to determine ultimate pits. The resulting phases (or cutbacks) were designed to approximate the optimised shells with a mine design and PFS. The sequence and rate, elevated cutoff to the plant varying over the life of the mine, later, to maximise NPV using Study assumptions and consistent with the planned scale of operations.

- A 10-metre bench height was used consistent with the planned production rate and appropriately sized equipment.

- No additional estimate has been made for mining dilution due to the nature of the deposit, other than the inherent dilution within the mining planning. Diluting material is either low grade Indicated or Inferred. It is assumed that due to the style of mineralisation the geological structure is consistent with the planned scale of operations.

- A minimum mining width appropriate for the proposed production rate was considered (of nominal 80m), and the intermediate pit design was based on the planned scale of operations.

- Grade control will be undertaken from sampling of blast holes. Planned targeted grade control drilling during operation. Grade control is consistent with the planned scale of operations.

- The geotechnical analysis was completed by external consultants. Geological, structural and alteration environments, material properties were defined from 48 drill holes. The geotechnical data was analysed for the planned scale of operations. The resultant design was tested for overall stability. Recommended dip angles were typically 39 degrees in transition zones, 48 degrees in Stockwork Hill, 39 to 48 degrees at White Hill, Golden Eagle and Zephyr.

- The PFS design (also used for the reserve mine schedule) is based on a production rate of 26mtpa, which would be increased to 52mtpa in Stage 2. The design is based on the planned scale of operations. The following preliminary inputs were used to select pit shells for the deposits. Final project operating parameters and economic outcomes will be determined during the final project.

- Metal prices Copper price \$4.00/lb; Gold price \$1900/oz

- Mining operating costs were based on the costs built into the PFS. (Variation dependent on material source pit and destination)

- Processing cost \$6.17/t milled for 26Mtpa operation

Metallurgical factors or assumptions

- General and administration cost \$1.2/t milled for 26Mtpa
 - Corporate overheads \$1.42/t milled for 26Mtpa operation
 - All costs are in USD.
 - 25% Cu concentrate grade in Stage 1 and 22% Cu concentrate grade in Stage 2
 - Concentrate transport costs of \$44.8/wmt.
 - Concentrate treatment of \$75/dry tonne.
 - Concentrate refining charge of \$0.075/lb Cu and \$4.3/lb Au.
 - Concentrate payment terms: 96.5% Cu payable, 1% Au payable
 - A progressive royalty averaging 8.2% is assumed.
 - Cu recovery 81%.
 - Au recovery 80%.
- Additional Information is below:
- The infrastructure requirements for open pit mining include roads, power lines, processing rooms and amenities, explosive storage and explosive control infrastructure, infrastructure for electric rope shovels, drills and de-watering infrastructure.
 - The PFS project has identified a material risk to the project's water supply and this will continue to be a core focus of the project. This risk has been developed by a team of competent persons & experts with experience in water supply from Litho exploration, the Kharmagtai government & commercial water supply. A substantial water resource established nearby, but significant water supply has been identified to meet the full Stage 1 demand. Current predictions indicate a water supply for Stage 1, which is roughly doubled for Stage 2.
 - Mining Factors and assumptions have been signed off by Competent Persons Statements in this announcement.
 - The proposed metallurgical process is a simple comminution process including a primary mill and recycle crusher, ball mill, a gravity circuit to recover gold and a flotation circuit to produce concentrate. The gravity gold will be tabled and smelted to produce gold bullion.
 - These processing techniques are all well tested and proven.
 - Pre-Feasibility confidence level variability testwork has been conducted on a range of mineralisation styles using techniques commonly applied to similar projects.
 - Based on the testwork results and experience with similar projects, a copper recovery of 81% and the gold recovery at 80%. Copper concentrate grade will be in the range of 22% copper for ratios above 7.5.
 - Further PFS sampling and test work to date have not had a detrimental effect on the selling price or project viability.
 - A market assessment on concentrate assays from the 2024 indicated that any potential penalties will be limited and are expected to be paid on concentrate.
 - No bulk or pilot scale testwork has been carried out to date.
 - Please refer to ASX/TSX Announcement 18 September 2024.

Environmental

• Mongolian certified EIA consultant Eco Trade LLC prepared the Mongolian Detailed Environmental Impact Assessment application. The Mining Licence was granted in 2013. Xanadu is preparing supplementary studies to be undertaken in the PFS. The a

• Mongolian Certified EIA consultant Sublime LLC and Xanadu are preparing a new DEIA as part of permitting requirements discussions in 2025.

• Waste rock characterisation was undertaken by Soil

• The PFS identified a site in the northeast corner of the depression ~6km to the east of the proposed plant site. Deed lease over the remaining LOM, sufficient for the proposed dam liner is not required.

• For the waste rock from the mining operation, a waste rock dam. An environmental geochemical assessment was conducted to allow for an initial Acid Rock Drainage (ARD) classification of waste management facilities, waste handling and management

• The exploration assay data set was used for the preliminary is a large data set and was deemed to be of a very good quality. Samples were calculated from the sulphur and calcium assays. Samples taken at 2 m intervals from exploration boreholes. These were used for the waste classification within the mine schedule

• The PFS mine schedule indicated that approximately 10% of waste rock or PAF-MS. Material earmarked for encapsulation (low acid waste rock). During the PFS, checks completed have confirmed encapsulation with recommendations for further detailed studies in the BFS.

• The waste dumps will be constructed such that Potential Non-Acid Forming (NAF) material. The as-built waste dump landforms with a shallower slope of 22 degrees. Further analysis in the BFS.

• A conceptual-level cost estimate of earthworks associated with waste dumps, stockpiles and infrastructure, was completed as part of the PFS to assess potential financial obligations following the immediate cessation of mining activities. This estimate has been included within the PFS cost

Infrastructure

- The mine site is currently connected to a 32kV power substation, powered by 2 lines from the SS switch station.
- The new line will extend approximately 140x2 km, with 500kV Bazhong substation to the SS switch station, each with 2 transformers, with plans for a future expansion to add one more transformer.
- The project focuses primarily on renewable energy, with a total installed capacity of 169 MW, providing an annual equivalent capacity of 102 MWp and an AC capacity of 83 MW.

• The site is located within 15km of the new railway line and railway. The site access road is planned to connect to this railway at the Mongolia-China border approximately 420 km east of Kharmin, through Ulaanbaatar, to the border with Russia.

• Road access to site from Ulaanbaatar is via the Ulaanbaatar asphalt-paved road. From Tsogt-Ovoo to the Tsogtsetsii-Kharmagtai dirt road from Tsogtsetsii to Kharmagtai needs upgrading to meet road standards.

• A permanent mining camp, heavy and light vehicle (H&L) camp, will be established in two phases. This phased approach minimises start-up capital expenditure followed by expansion as operations ramp up and the project progresses.

• The camp will be located northeast of the existing exploration facilities. The camp facilities will include the main office, mine dry change facilities, and consist of a general warehouse, chemical warehouse, and ore stockpiles to optimise efficiency.

• The Study assumes workforce from both Ulaanbaatar and regional centres will be via bus. Commutes to regional centres from Ulaanbaatar will be via bus.

• Water supply for the project will primarily come from a pipeline 20 km northeast of the site. A 20 km pipeline with a capacity of 700 l/s, designed to transmit up to 700 l/s, will be used for the project. The project will require approximately 350 l/s during expansion. Stage 2 water supply will come from groundwater, derisked by the option to use the Mongolian Government Kharmin. This alternative water supply is to address the water supply risk considered in the PFS, with further technical definition planned.

• The TSF strategy includes two phases: an on-lease two-cell paddock for 10 years of operation, followed by an off-lease two-cell paddock for 10 years of operation.

Costs

- The capital cost estimates were based on a mixture of benchmarking with similar operations, targeting accuracy of ±10%.
- DRA prepared the capital cost of the ore processing components supported by quotations for major equipment and factoring in contingencies.
- Xanadu estimated the EPCM rate of 10% for the ore processing EPCM provider.

• The capital cost of the majority of the non-processing components. The methodology involves using detailed parametric costing of relevant costs from previous projects in Mongolia and current project components. It includes all direct and indirect costs for Own, Operate, Transfer (BOOT) arrangement. In this study, construction as these will be utilised for shutdown maintenance.

- Process operating costs were built up by DRA from factoring in contingencies.
- Mining operating costs were built up by Mining Plus factoring in equipment & consumable suppliers and input from established a database of comparable bulk copper mines.

• No contingency was applied to operating costs.

• No allowances were made for deleterious elements and realisation charges were based on market analysis by an independent Competent Person.

• The PFS assumes Mongolian royalties will be set as assumed to match draft legislation for copper royalties published in the study at higher and lower benchmarks (high = government owned Oyu Tolgoi Copper Mine. Gold bullion is assumed to be sold in London).

• The Company has not established any contracts or options at this time.

• The copper market outlook is based on research report Critical Minerals Outlook 2024 (17 May 2024).

Revenue factors

• The sale price is derived from estimated commodity prices of comparable copper project study prices.

• This study assumes sale in China. Freight, handling and other costs are included in the PFS study.

• A breakeven cutoff of 0.22% CuEq was adopted based on the PFS study and Ore Reserve mine schedule. A marginal contribution of 0.02% CuEq was assumed for the PFS study.

Market assessment

Market and Pricing Assumptions

- This market assessment was completed by Xanadu from S&P Market Intelligence and others.

- The 12-month price range for copper reached a low of \$3.50/lb in 2023. This price range has been applied to the calculations for the 2024 PFS, in the absence of a forecast.

- The \$4.10/lb price assumption is conservative when compared to current market pricing conditions year to date (YTD), low inventories, momentum, and market expectations of increased medium-term demand due to copper's role in electrification.

- The 12-month price range for gold reached a low of \$1,800/oz in 2023. This price range has been applied to the calculations for the 2024 PFS, in the absence of a forecast.

Price Fundamentals

- Demand for copper is increasing with growing electrification and infrastructure development.

- Copper prices are near 10-year highs, currently \$4.60/lb.

- Forecast to remain well above \$4.50/lb

- S&P Global Market Intelligence, as of 30 April 2024, forecasts a price of \$4.50/lb for Cu beyond 2028

Supply Factors

- The copper industry is experiencing declining grade, declining production, and increasing production costs as mines go deeper.

- There is an emerging shortage of high-quality copper resources.

- There have been major disruptions including the closure of the Escondido mine in Chile.

- RFC Ambrian (and others) highlights 75 new mines required to meet the supply deficit valued at 10 times the total forecast value of copper resources.

- New projects can take 15 years from discovery to production.

- Jurisdictions previously seen as historically reliable (e.g., Chile, Peru, DRC) are facing increasing political and regulatory risk.

- Lack of major new, long-life discoveries

- Lack of exploration success resulting in shortage of copper resources

Demand Factors

- Copper metal demand is in response to rising living standards and infrastructure development.

- Environmental policies (carbon reduction) drive electrification and infrastructure development.

- Urbanisation of developing nations populations including infrastructure development.

- Electrification of transport including electric vehicles

- Growth in renewable energy technology

Economic

- The inputs to the NPV analysis are tabulated in this
- The NPV was determined using the Discounted Cash Flow model that one of the JV partners Zijin Mining Group will most likely use the Chinese banking system than the other partner Xanadu
- The financial model is in real terms based on yearly
- No escalation was applied.
- Mongolian Corporate tax rate of 25% taxable income
- Mongolian Customs Duty of 5% has been applied to
- Mongolian VAT of 10% has been applied to both cap
- Inflation was not included
- PFS outcomes using full mine inventory (including In
- \$450M and \$1,220M with base case NPV of \$930M.
- The PFS ranges include a low case based on a 10% increase in gold prices as a natural by-product hedge. The copper price, followed by gold price and capital expenditure

- The PFS Ore Reserve Schedule (including only Indicative model to have NPV of \$650M with a range between approximately the PFS discounted cash flow model other than the mining Reserve Schedule economic results are below.
- The PFS Ore Reserve Schedule (including only Indicative model to have NPV of \$670M with a range between approximately the PFS discounted cash flow model other than the mining Reserve Schedule economic results are below.

RESERVE Key Findings

Project Financial Summary

Net Revenue (\$M)

EBITDA (\$M)

Net Cash Flow (\$M) After CITax

NPV (8% discount) After CITax

IRR after CITax

Capital Payback Period (years) After CITax

Net Cash Flow (\$M) Before CITax

NPV (8% discount) Before CITax

IRR Before CITax

Capital Payback Period (years) Before CITax

RESERVE High-Low-Base Sensitivity Scenarios

Project Financial Summary

Cu price (\$/lb) +/-10%

Au price (\$/oz) +/-5%

Ag price (\$/oz) +/-10%

Establishment Capex (\$M) +/-5%

LOM Capex (\$M) +/-5%

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The South Gobi Desert is the least populated region
Social	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Xanadu has strong relationships with remote communities to support health and economic development. This will continue into future years.• The potential social impacts of the Project, both positive and negative, will assist in scoping of social baseline studies and identifying areas of focus. Work was commenced in 2024 to support both national environmental and social project financing.• Xanadu's Annual Sustainability Report is available on the website.• An initial Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) was completed. The 2012 DEIA approval has expired and is no longer valid. Xanadu is currently working with Mongolian authorities in 2024.• Baseline environmental studies will be completed in 2024 as part of the ESIA Assessment (DEIA) which is required for the Mongolian permitting process.• Additional baseline environmental studies are underway to support the ESIA as defined by Ramboll to meet project lender requirements.
Other	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No natural occurring risks have been identified. Mongolia has experienced risks such as dust storms in the spring. The mine, facilities and business operations are designed with operational safety and resilience in mind.• Xanadu has a marketing agency agreement with Tailored Marketing for a period of production for 20 years. For clarity this is not offtake, and is a marketing agreement needed against competitive offers. No other marketing agreements are in place.• Xanadu holds a Mining License at Kharmagtai, granted for 20 years, renewable for 20 years each.• As part of this Mining License, a registered Water Right has been obtained.• Applications for land access and water usage are not yet submitted. The success of this critical path for project timeline will be success of water exploration and water right applications.• The next stage of approvals is to submit Mongolian DRA. Once those are approved, discussions will commence for international permitting and understood permitting process will be followed.• Kharmagtai has no Measured Mineral Resources, only Inferred Resources.• As a result, all Ore Reserves have all been classified as Inferred.• Probable Ore Reserve was declared based on the Inferred Ore Reserve, the mine design and the latest financial metrics from the PFS work and the updated MRA.
Classification	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• To support the Ore Reserve evaluation within the PFS, a Mineral Resource Estimate (MRE) was completed with no value given to the Inferred Resource with a PFS level mine design. Following this a PFS level mine design, mine scheduling, mine cost estimates were completed to confirm positive economic outcomes for the C1 and C2 Ore Reserves.• The estimated Ore Reserve provided appropriately reflects the uncertainty of the modifying factors derived from the PFS and the updated MRA.
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Ore Reserve was developed by Mining Plus and reviewed by PSM.• Enthalpy conducted an Independent Review of all sections of the MRE and Geotechnical.• Mineral Resources Estimate was further reviewed by PSM.• Geotechnical assumptions were reviewed by PSM and O2 Mining.• O2 Mining, a Mongolia based consultancy, provided geotechnical input to the MRE.• Graham Brock undertook review of the DRA process.

- The Ore Reserve applied procedures include numerical assessment, to determine accuracy within the stated confidence
- The level of accuracy of the PFS is +/- 25%.

Considerations in favour of a high confidence in the Ore Reserve

- Detailed analysis and consideration of local Mongolian operations

- The process flowsheet is relatively simple producing
- The study team comprises an experienced team of experienced Mongolian and international experience in similar projects, project development as determined in the PFS.

Considerations in favour of a lower confidence in the Ore Reserve

- Commodity prices and exchange rate assumptions

Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence

- There is a degree of uncertainty associated with geological confidence in the estimates.

- There are risks associated with impacts of natural phenomena assumptions, and the modifying factors, commensurate of

- The Ore Reserve is based on a global estimate. Mod

- The PFS project has identified a material risk to the project its water supply, and this will continue to be a core focus of developed by a team of competent persons & experts with from Litho exploration, the Kharmagtai government & comm substantial water resource established nearby, but significant identified to meet the full Stage 1 demand. Current predicted supply for Stage 1, which is roughly doubled for Stage 2. A costing assuming water will be required to be pumped from

- A BFS is planned to commence in Q1 2025.

-
- ¹ ASX/TSX Announcement 14 October 2024 - Kharmagtai Pre-Feasibility Study
 - ² ASX/TSX Announcement 28 August 2024 - Update Increase in Kharmagtai Resource
 - ³ ASX/TSX Announcement 14 October 2024 - Kharmagtai Pre-Feasibility Study
 - ⁴ ASX/TSX Announcement 6 April 2022 - Kharmagtai Copper-Gold Project Scoping Study
 - ⁵ ASX/TSX Announcement 14 October 2024 - Kharmagtai Pre-Feasibility Study
 - ⁶ ASX/TSX Announcement 14 October 2024 - Kharmagtai Pre-Feasibility Study
 - ⁷ ASX/TSX Announcement 14 October 2024 - Kharmagtai Pre-Feasibility Study
 - ⁸ ASX/TSX Announcement 14 October 2024 - Kharmagtai Pre-Feasibility Study

Photos accompanying this announcement are available at

<https://www.globenewswire.com/NewsRoom/AttachmentNg/4b23a3a1-94bc-4339-863d-b6c187775073>

<https://www.globenewswire.com/NewsRoom/AttachmentNg/30f54f0e-40b4-4302-816b-f9e2db1eb1f4>

<https://www.globenewswire.com/NewsRoom/AttachmentNg/e4329406-6664-4ea0-9cc8-20e2d71ad215>

<https://www.globenewswire.com/NewsRoom/AttachmentNg/38e87af1-aa95-4e74-9b06-741c06e8df7d>

<https://www.globenewswire.com/NewsRoom/AttachmentNg/f793aee6-790e-4de9-a0ae-1306919e60ca>

<https://www.globenewswire.com/NewsRoom/AttachmentNg/1470c9bb-1fd2-4a44-a987-89689bf40be1>

<https://www.globenewswire.com/NewsRoom/AttachmentNg/dad2a622-a708-4545-ae18-472f3267062b>

Dieser Artikel stammt von [Rohstoff-Welt.de](https://www.rohstoff-welt.de)

Die URL für diesen Artikel lautet:

<https://www.rohstoff-welt.de/news/482421--Kharmagtai-Maiden-Ore-Reserve-Updated-Mineral-Resource.html>

Für den Inhalt des Beitrages ist allein der Autor verantwortlich bzw. die aufgeführte Quelle. Bild- oder Filmrechte liegen beim Autor/Quelle bzw. bei der vom ihm benannten Quelle. Bei Übersetzungen können Fehler nicht ausgeschlossen werden. Der vertretene Standpunkt eines Autors spiegelt generell nicht die Meinung des Webseiten-Betreibers wieder. Mittels der Veröffentlichung will dieser lediglich ein pluralistisches Meinungsbild darstellen. Direkte oder indirekte Aussagen in einem Beitrag stellen keinerlei Aufforderung zum Kauf-/Verkauf von Wertpapieren dar. Wir wehren uns gegen jede Form von Hass, Diskriminierung und Verletzung der Menschenwürde. Beachten Sie bitte auch unsere [AGB/Disclaimer!](#)

Die Reproduktion, Modifikation oder Verwendung der Inhalte ganz oder teilweise ohne schriftliche Genehmigung ist untersagt!
Alle Angaben ohne Gewähr! Copyright © by Rohstoff-Welt.de -1999-2026. Es gelten unsere [AGB](#) und [Datenschutzrichtlinien](#).