

Significant New Zone of Bornite Gold-Rich Porphyry Mineralisation

28.02.2019 | [GlobeNewswire](#)

HIGHLIGHTS

Location of the Kharmagtai Project in the South Gobi porphyry copper belt.

The Kharmagtai Mining Licence showing ground magnetic data and location of the Kharmagtai Deposit (Stockwork Hill, White Hill, Copper Hill), porphyry centres, targets and location of drill holes KHDDH488.

Long section showing KHDDH488 and KHDDH419.

Mineralised slab images from KHDDH488. Halved HQ core, the height of each image is 6.35cm.

Estimation and modelling techniques

Bulk density

- KHDDH488 discovers a significant new zone of high-grade mineralisation outside the current open pit resource returning:

- 352m @ 0.41% Cu & 0.58g/t Au (0.78% eCu or 1.22g/t eAu) from 448m,

including 102m @ 1.00% Cu and 1.67g/t Au (2.06% eCu or 3.23g/t eAu) from 572m,

including 78m @ 1.14% Cu and 2.06g/t Au (2.45% eCu or 3.85g/t eAu) from 594m,

including 14m @ 1.51% Cu and 3.36g/t Au (3.66% eCu or 5.73g/t eAu) from 622m

and 10m @ 2.24% Cu and 5.28g/t Au (5.60% eCu or 8.78g/t eAu) from 658m

- KHDDH488 extends known mineralisation in the central portion of the Stockwork Hill Deposit approximately 200 metres to the southeast and 100 metres east of KHDDH419;
- The discovery has revealed a transition to a zone of higher temperature sulphide mineral assemblages, i.e. bornite, in the intrusive root to the deposit;
- New results are expected to enhance the mining economics significantly;

- Follow up drilling is underway to further quantify the positive impacts;
- Remaining assay results from KHDDH488 expected in the coming weeks.

TORONTO, Feb. 28, 2019 - [Xanadu Mines Ltd.](#) (ASX: XAM, TSX: XAM) ("Xanadu" or "the Company") is pleased to report partial assay results for diamond drill hole KHDDH488 which has returned a thick intercept of high-grade copper and gold mineralisation. The latest drilling results have confirmed a transition to higher temperature sulphide mineral assemblages such as bornite in the intrusive root to the deposit, with a significant increase in the gold-to-copper ratio associated with increasing bornite. The high-grade bornite occurs within a highly mineralised quartz monzodiorite intrusion that appears to be one of the primary sources of mineralisation for the entire Kharmagtai deposit, which is located in the south Gobi region of Mongolia (Figures 1 and 2). The current drilling is targeting high-grade mineralisation associated with the causative copper and gold porphyry at depth.

Xanadu's Managing Director & Chief Executive Officer, Dr Andrew Stewart, said *"After two and a half years of intensive exploration, it is remarkable that our drilling has intersected a significant new zone of bornite-rich porphyry which has produced one of the best intersections of copper and gold mineralisation encountered on the property. Like most classic gold-rich porphyry systems, the target is the bornite zone which correlates with high copper values, but significantly also a higher gold-to-copper ratio."*

Hole KHDDH488 has successfully demonstrated the existence of high-grade mineralisation along strike and at depth of the current open pit resource. This represents an exciting new development for the project following the release of an interim open pit resource last year and we are particularly excited with the new results from this hole which are expanding a new zone of bornite porphyry mineralisation. We are very confident these results will provide the basis for a significant increase in the size and grade of the overall Kharmagtai deposit and have a positive impact on ongoing economic studies."

EXTENSION TO THE STOCKWORK HILL DEPOSIT DISCOVERED

Drilling is targeting mineralisation below chalcopyrite‐gold mineralisation to test for a higher-grade bornite core in the root zones of the causative porphyry intrusion. High-grade mineralisation may manifest as bornite‐gold‐cemented breccia or as bornite‐gold stockwork mineralisation in the causative intrusive.

KHDDH488 has returned:

352m @ 0.41% Cu & 0.58g/t Au (0.78% eCu or 1.22g/t eAu) from 448m,

including 102m @ 1.00% Cu and 1.67g/t Au (2.06% eCu or 3.23g/t eAu) from 572m,

including 78m @ 1.14% Cu and 2.06g/t Au (2.45% eCu or 3.85g/t eAu) from 594m,

including 14m @ 1.51% Cu and 3.36g/t Au (3.66% eCu or 5.73g/t eAu) from 622m

and 10m @ 2.24% Cu and 5.28g/t Au (5.60% eCu or 8.78g/t eAu) from 658m.

Assays for the remaining 400 metres of the drill hole are expected in the coming weeks. A wedge hole KHDDH488a as been initiated to test up-dip of this intercept and define the orientation of the high-grade bornite mineralisation.

KHDDH488 has extended the Stockwork Hill deposit a minimum of 200 metres beyond the southern limit of the open cut resource estimate that was prepared by CSA in October 2018 and 100 metres to the east of the drill intercept in KHDDH419 (see Xanadu's ASX announcement – 26 September 2017; Figure 2) which intersected:

KHDDH419 − 294m @ 0.47% Cu & 0.85g/t Au (1.01% eCu or 1.59g/t eAu) from 466m,

Including 86m @ 0.78% Cu and 1.91g/t Au (2.0% eCu or 3.14g/t eAu) from 558m.

The high-grade bornite zone as currently drilled, appears to be the tip of a wedge of mineralisation that could broaden significantly at depth. Drilling will focus on expanding this wedge of mineralisation in the coming months.

Photos accompanying this announcement are available at:

<http://www.globenewswire.com/NewsRoom/AttachmentNg/0ad0bb84-1d31-4279-b935-59edbd9f965e>

<http://www.globenewswire.com/NewsRoom/AttachmentNg/adf5e645-33c4-4241-8727-3593af2e0027>

<http://www.globenewswire.com/NewsRoom/AttachmentNg/76a6ccdd-f786-4dc2-8cd0-92f8d11200bb>

<http://www.globenewswire.com/NewsRoom/AttachmentNg/647dda6a-c954-46f8-8955-01b074f36b35>

COMPETENT-QUALIFIED PERSON STATEMENT

The information in this announcement that relates to exploration results is based on information compiled by Dr Andrew Stewart who is responsible for the exploration data, comments on exploration target sizes, QA/QC and geological interpretation and information. Dr Stewart, who is an employee of Xanadu and is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Geoscientists, has sufficient experience relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity he is undertaking to qualify as the "Competent Person" as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves" and the National Instrument 43-101. Dr Stewart consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

COPPER EQUIVALENT CALCULATIONS

The copper equivalent (CuEq) calculation represents the total metal value for each metal, multiplied by the conversion factor, summed and expressed in equivalent copper percentage. Grades have not been adjusted for metallurgical or refining recoveries and the copper equivalent grades are of an exploration nature only and intended for summarising grade. The copper equivalent calculation is intended as an indicative value only. The following copper equivalent conversion factors and long-term price assumptions have been adopted: Copper Equivalent Formula (CuEq) = Cu% + (Au (ppm) x 0.6378). Based on a copper price of \$2.60/lb and a gold price of \$1,300/oz.

TABLE 1: Currently returned assay intercepts for Stockwork Hill

| Hole ID | Prospect | From (m) | To (m) | Interval (m) | Au (g/t) | Cu (%) | CuEq (%) | AuEq (g/t) |
|------------------|----------------|----------|--------|--------------|----------|--------|----------|------------|
| KHDDH488 | Stockwork Hill | 3.4 | 29 | 25.6 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.20 |
| <i>and</i> | | 39 | 103 | 64 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.19 | 0.29 |
| <i>including</i> | | 53 | 59 | 6 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.42 | 0.66 |
| <i>and</i> | | 117 | 148 | 31 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.47 |
| <i>including</i> | | 134 | 140 | 6 | 0.24 | 0.57 | 0.72 | 1.13 |
| <i>and</i> | | 170 | 372.1 | 202.1 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.35 | 0.55 |
| <i>including</i> | | 178 | 191.2 | 13.2 | 0.27 | 0.35 | 0.52 | 0.82 |
| <i>including</i> | | 201 | 207 | 6 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.62 |
| <i>including</i> | | 227 | 251 | 24 | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.39 | 0.60 |

| | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----|--------|-----------------------|------|------|------|------|
| <i>including</i> | 229 | 241 | 12 | 0.37 | 0.25 | 0.49 | 0.76 |
| <i>including</i> | 261 | 345 | 84 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.43 | 0.68 |
| <i>including</i> | 263 | 271 | 8 | 0.14 | 0.45 | 0.54 | 0.85 |
| <i>including</i> | 321 | 331 | 10 | 0.53 | 0.31 | 0.65 | 1.01 |
| <i>including</i> | 355 | 369 | 14 | 0.44 | 0.27 | 0.55 | 0.86 |
| <i>including</i> | 357 | 363 | 6 | 0.66 | 0.35 | 0.77 | 1.21 |
| <i>and</i> | 394 | 400 | 6 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.21 |
| <i>and</i> | 412 | 426 | 14 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.20 |
| <i>and</i> | 448 | 800 | 352 | 0.58 | 0.41 | 0.78 | 1.22 |
| <i>including</i> | 530 | 540 | 10 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.43 |
| <i>including</i> | 550 | 676 | 126 | 1.39 | 0.88 | 1.77 | 2.77 |
| <i>including</i> | 572 | 674 | 102 | 1.67 | 1.00 | 2.06 | 3.23 |
| <i>including</i> | 572 | 584 | 12 | 0.38 | 0.59 | 0.83 | 1.30 |
| <i>including</i> | 594 | 672 | 78 | 2.06 | 1.14 | 2.45 | 3.85 |
| <i>including</i> | 690 | 736 | 46 | 0.31 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.69 |
| <i>including</i> | 710 | 732 | 22 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.41 | 0.65 |
| <i>including</i> | 748 | 758 | 10 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.41 | 0.64 |
| <i>including</i> | 782 | 798.6 | 16.6 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.38 |
| <i>and</i> | 883 | 1214.4 | <i>Assays pending</i> | | | | |

Intercepts are weighted averages to ensure different sample lengths do not skew the results. There is insufficient information to understand true widths at this stage. Due to the size of the system and current ambiguity around orientation of the drill hole relative to minor diluting intrusives, a larger than normal internal dilution of 9m has been used to calculate a geologically relevant intercept. Cut-off grades and maximum dilution details are included in the tabulated intercepts for clarity.

TABLE 2: Drill hole collar location

| Hole ID | Prospect | East | North | RL | Azimuth (°) | Inc (°) | Depth (m) |
|----------|----------------|--------|---------|------|----------------|---------|-----------|
| KHDDH488 | Stockwork Hill | 592741 | 4877800 | 1284 | 180 | -73 | 901.0 |

For further information, please contact:

Andrew Stewart
Managing Director & Chief Executive Officer
T: +612 8280 7497
M: +976 9999 9211
Andrew.stewart@xanadumines.com
www.xanadumines.com

APPENDIX 1: KHARMAGTAI TABLE 1 (JORC 2012)

1.1 JORC TABLE 1 – SECTION 4 ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF ORE RESERVES

Set out below is Section 1 and Section 2 of Table 1 under the JORC Code, 2012 Edition for the Kharmagtai project. Data provided by Xanadu. This Table 1 updates the JORC Table 1 disclosure dated 31 July 2018.

1.2 JORC TABLE 1 - SECTION 1 - SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation |
|----------|-----------------------|
|----------|-----------------------|

Sampling techniques

- *Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random c*
- *Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample repre*
- *Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Mate*
- *In cases where 'industry standard' work has b*

Drilling techniques

- *Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer,*

Drill sample recovery

- *Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample re*
- *Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure re*
- *Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and*

Logging

- *Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and*
- *Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core*
- *The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections*

Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation

- *If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all*
- *If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. a*
- *For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriatenes*
- *Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stag*
- *Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representativ*
- *Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of th*

Quality of assay data and laboratory tests

- *The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and*
- *For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instrum*
- *Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards*

Verification of sampling and assaying

- *The verification of significant intersections by either indepen*
- *The use of twinned holes.*
- *Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data*
- *Discuss any adjustment to assay data.*

Location of data points

- *Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (co*
- *Specification of the grid system used.*
- *Quality and adequacy of topographic control.*

| | |
|--|--|
| <i>Data spacing and distribution</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i> ● <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish a reliable estimate of the mineral resource.</i> ● <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i> |
| <i>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling.</i> ● <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of the geological structure is known.</i> |
| <i>Sample security</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i> |
| <i>Audits or reviews</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques.</i> |

1.3 JORC TABLE 1 - SECTION 2 - REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation |
|--|--|
| <i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership of the mineral tenement.</i> ● <i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting.</i> |
| <i>Exploration done by other parties</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i> |
| <i>Geology</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralization.</i> |
| <i>Drill hole Information</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the drill hole, including:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i> ● <i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – datum above which the vertical axis of the hole is measured)</i> ● <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i> ● <i>down hole length and interception depth</i> ● <i>hole length.</i> ● <i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis of the JORC Code, the reasons must be explained.</i> |

| | |
|---|---|
| <i>Data aggregation methods</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting average</i> ● <i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short l</i> ● <i>The assumptions used for any reporting of met</i> |
| <i>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>These relationships are particularly important i</i> ● <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respe</i> ● <i>If it is not known and only the down hole length</i> |
| <i>Diagrams</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) an</i> |
| <i>Balanced reporting</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Explorat</i> |
| <i>Other substantive exploration data</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and mate</i> |
| <i>Further work</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (</i> ● <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of poss</i> |

1.4 JORC TABLE 1 – SECTION 3 ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF MINERAL RESOURCES

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation |
|---------------------------|---|
| <i>Database integrity</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for e</i> ● <i>Data validation procedures used.</i> |
| <i>Site visits</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person an</i> ● <i>If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.</i> |

| | |
|---|--|
| <i>Geological interpretation</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation.● Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made.● The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimates.● The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation.● The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology. |
| <i>Dimensions</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none">● The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length, area and volume. |
| <i>Estimation and modelling techniques</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none">● The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied.● The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production data.● The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products.● Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance.● In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the grade variability.● Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units.● Any assumptions about correlation between variables.● Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the estimation.● Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping.● The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of different estimates. |
| <i>Moisture</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture. |
| <i>Cut-off parameters</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none">● The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied. |
| <i>Mining factors or assumptions</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions, and the sequence and timing of planned mining and production. |
| <i>Metallurgical factors or assumptions</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none">● The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. |
| <i>Environmental factors or assumptions</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. |
| <i>Bulk density</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumption.● The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods appropriate to the material.● Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation of the Mineral Resource. |

Classification

- *The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying*
- *Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (*
- *Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person&rsq*

Audits or reviews

- *The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.*

Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence

- *Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence*
- *The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates*
- *These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimates*

1.5 JORC TABLE 1 – SECTION 4 ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF ORE RESERVES

Ore Reserves are not reported so this is not applicable to this report.

Dieser Artikel stammt von [Rohstoff-Welt.de](https://www.rohstoff-welt.de)

Die URL für diesen Artikel lautet:

<https://www.rohstoff-welt.de/news/320494--Significant-New-Zone-of-Bornite-Gold-Rich-Porphyry-Mineralisation.html>

Für den Inhalt des Beitrages ist allein der Autor verantwortlich bzw. die aufgeführte Quelle. Bild- oder Filmrechte liegen beim Autor/Quelle bzw. bei der vom ihm benannten Quelle. Bei Übersetzungen können Fehler nicht ausgeschlossen werden. Der vertretene Standpunkt eines Autors spiegelt generell nicht die Meinung des Webseiten-Betreibers wieder. Mittels der Veröffentlichung will dieser lediglich ein pluralistisches Meinungsbild darstellen. Direkte oder indirekte Aussagen in einem Beitrag stellen keinerlei Aufforderung zum Kauf-/Verkauf von Wertpapieren dar. Wir wehren uns gegen jede Form von Hass, Diskriminierung und Verletzung der Menschenwürde. Beachten Sie bitte auch unsere [AGB/Disclaimer!](#)

Die Reproduktion, Modifikation oder Verwendung der Inhalte ganz oder teilweise ohne schriftliche Genehmigung ist untersagt!
Alle Angaben ohne Gewähr! Copyright © by Rohstoff-Welt.de -1999-2025. Es gelten unsere [AGB](#) und [Datenschutzrichtlinien](#).