

# Minera Alamos Announces Positive Preliminary Economic Assessment for the La Fortuna Gold Project with After-Tax IRR of 93%

16.08.2018 | [CNW](#)

TORONTO and VANCOUVER, Aug. 16, 2018 - [Minera Alamos Inc.](#) ("Minera" or the "Company") (TSXV: MAI) is pleased to announce the positive results of an independent Preliminary Economic Assessment ("PEA") for its La Fortuna Project ("Project") in Durango, Mexico. The PEA was prepared in accordance with National Instrument 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects ("NI 43-101") by CSA Global Geosciences Canada Ltd (CSA Global) of Toronto, Canada. (Note to readers: all currency references are in US dollars).

Table 1 - PEA Summary

	US\$	CDN\$
Pre-Tax NPV (7.5%)	\$103,800,000	\$134,800,000
Pre-Tax IRR	122%	122%
After-Tax NPV (7.5%)	\$69,800,000	\$90,600,000
After-Tax IRR	93%	93%
Pre-Tax Payback Period	9 months	
After-Tax Payback Period	11 months	
Average Annual Production	43,000 oz Gold, 220,000 oz Silver, 1,000 t Copper (50koz GEO <sup>1</sup> )	
Preproduction Capital	\$26,900,000	\$34,900,000
LOM Average AISC <sup>2</sup>	\$440/oz	\$571/oz
Mine Life	5 years	
Mill Throughput (avg. tpd)	1,100	
Mill Grade & Recovery	3.68 g/t Au (90% recovery)	
Gold Price	\$1,250/oz	
Silver Price	\$16/oz	
Copper Price	\$5,725/tonne	
FX Rate (CDN\$/US\$)	0.77	

Notes:

1. GEO &ndash; Gold Equivalent Ounces
2. "AISC per ounce" is a non-GAAP financial performance measures with no standardized definition under IFRS; additional reference info at bottom of release
3. Base case prices for gold, silver and copper were assessed at values approximately 2%-7% below the three-year trailing average prices for each of the metals and below the majority of the publicly available forward looking estimates available as of July 2018

PEA Cautionary Note:

Readers are cautioned that the PEA is preliminary in nature and there is no certainty that the PEA results will be realized. Mineral resources are not mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. Additional work is needed to upgrade these mineral resources to mineral reserves.

"With an after-tax Internal Rate of Return in excess of 90%, today's excellent PEA results confirm that the La Fortuna Project provides a robust base for the next phase of gold production in the Company's growth pipeline," commented Darren Koningen, Chief Executive Officer. "The simplified gold recovery process outlined in the study represents a conservative starting point that is well suited to the initial project resource which, to date, has been based exclusively on previously drilled mineralization. As our engineering work progresses we continue to find opportunities to reduce the initial project capital requirements and improve overall project economics. Coupled with our strategic partnership with Osisko Gold Royalties that includes an option to provide a significant portion of the project capital requirements in return for a Project royalty, these additional optimizations will greatly reduce the upfront funding requirements of this already low capital cost operation."

"This PEA represents a key milestone for the Company as we begin to deliver to the market's attention the underlying project economics of our development pipeline that focuses on cost-efficient and targeted production that can incrementally build a significant production profile over time," commented Doug Ramshaw, President. "With the recently submitted commercial permit applications at the Santana project and ongoing work at the Company's Guadalupe de los Reyes project we are aggressively expanding our activities on multiple fronts. We continue to envision a plan whereby targeted production from the development of the Santana project will support the modest capital requirements of the La Fortuna operation."

Production and Economic Highlights

- Production highlights
  - Average annual contained-metal production of approximately 50,000oz Gold Equivalent (43,000oz Gold, 22,000oz Silver, 1,000t Copper).
  - 5-year mine life based on initial resource "starter pit" with 2.0 Mt of mineralization (3.68 g/t Au, 20 g/t Ag, 0.2 g/t Cu) processed at 1,100 tpd average processing rate.
  - 215koz of Gold, 1.1Moz of Silver, and 5kt of Copper produced in concentrates.
- Robust economics using metals prices of \$1,250/oz Au, \$16/oz Ag, and \$5,725/t Cu:
  - All-In Sustaining Cost (AISC) of \$440/oz [net of by-product credits]
  - After-Tax NPV at 7.5% of \$69.8M and IRR of 93%.
  - Pre-Tax NPV at 7.5% of \$103.8M and IRR of 122%.
- Low initial capital costs and rapid payback:
  - Pre-production capital costs of \$26.9M.
  - Payback period of 3.9 11 months.
  - 2,000 t/d mill already purchased awaiting shipment to site reduces up-front capital.
- Significant Upside

- ● Current PEA completed on project "starter pit" resource only, a single zone of drilled mineralization that appears to remain open geologically.
- Additional milling capacity &ndash; project permitted for a 2,000 tpd operation with the PEA based on a starter plant of 1,100 tpd.
- Numerous opportunities for significant economic improvement &ndash; improved gold recoveries, reduced operating costs, etc.

Table 2 - Overview of PEA Results and Assumptions

		Unit	Base Case
Inputs	Gold Price	\$/oz	\$1,250
	Silver Price	\$/oz	\$16
	Copper Price	\$/t	\$5,725
	Exchange Rate	MXP/USD	19
Economics	Net Cash Flows (Undiscounted)	\$	\$141,000,000
Pre-Tax	NPV at 7.5%	\$	\$104,000,000
	IRR	%	122%
	Payback Period	Months	9
Economics	Net Cash Flows (Undiscounted)	\$	\$96,000,000
Post-Tax	NPV at 7.5%	\$	\$70,000,000
	IRR	%	93%
	Payback Period	Months	11
NPV Discount Rate Sensitivities			
Pre-Tax	Net Cash Flows (Undiscounted)	\$	\$141,000,000
	NPV at 5%	\$	\$114,000,000
	NPV at 10%	\$	\$94,000,000
Post-Tax	Net Cash Flows (Undiscounted)	\$	\$96,000,000
	NPV at 5%	\$	\$77,000,000
	NPV at 10%	\$	\$63,000,000

### Capital & Operating Cost Estimates

Table 3 - Initial and Sustaining Capital Costs (CAPEX)

Area	Initial (\$000)	Sustaining (\$000)	Total (\$000)
Mining (contractor mobilizations)	\$1,000		\$1,000
Site Development/Infrastructure	\$3,500		\$3,500
Mineral Processing	\$15,000	\$7,100	\$22,100
Tailings Management	\$2,000		\$2,000
Closure		\$3,000	\$3,000
Salvage Value		(\$3,000)	(\$3,000)
Contingencies (incl. owner's costs)	\$5,400		\$5,400
<b>TOTAL PROJECT</b>	<b>\$26,900</b>	<b>\$7,100</b>	<b>\$34,000</b>

\*Note: Start-up working capital to be provided by concentrate purchasers on credit revolver basis.

Table 4 - Operating Costs (OPEX)

Area	\$/tonne Mineralized Material*2	\$/unit	
Open Pit Mining	\$11.80	\$2.15	per tonne mined
Processing	\$15.95	\$22.89	per tonne milled
Stockpile/Ore Sorting*1	\$1.73	\$4.00	per tonne sorted
G&A	\$3.86	\$5.54	per tonne milled
<b>All-In OPEX</b>	<b>\$33.34</b>		

Notes:

- "Ore Sorting" as used in the context of Table 4 is a commercial term referring to sensor-based rock sorting technology and is not related to project resources/reserves. Ore sorting equipment is implemented in Year 3 for upgrading of mid-grade stockpiles
- "Mineralized Material" represents mined material in excess of 0.8 g/t Au cut-off (includes direct milling material + stockpiled material to be upgraded via ore sorting prior to milling)

#### Mineral Resources

This PEA is based on a new mineral resource estimate prepared for the La Fortuna project by Scott Zelligan, P.Geo., as part of the current report. The mineral resource estimate is based on the results from 125 core drill holes completed to date on the project. Wireframes were prepared using the drill hole information combined with geological interpretations of the deposit and validated through observations and sampling of accessible historical underground openings. Further details related to the current mineral resource estimate are presented in a later section. The table below outlines the total base case Mineral Resources, including those that were not included as part of the PEA mine plan.

Table 5 - Mineral Resource Estimates (1.0 g/t Au cutoff grade)

Resource Category	Au (g/t) Cut-off	Tonnes (t)	Au (g/t)	Ag (g/t)	Cu (%)	Au oz	Ag oz	Cu t
Measured	1.0	1,755,400	2.96	17.5	0.23	167,100	987,800	4,000
	1.5	1,309,700	3.55	19.5	0.25			
	2.0	1,012,100	4.09	21.0	0.28			
	2.5	795,300	4.59	22.4	0.30			
	3.0	639,400	5.04	23.5	0.32			
Indicated	1.0	1,714,300	2.59	15.5	0.21	142,800	854,400	3,600
	1.5	1,241,400	3.11	17.5	0.24			
	2.0	886,400	3.65	19.2	0.27			
	2.5	626,600	4.24	21.0	0.30			
	3.0	458,500	4.80	22.2	0.32			
Measured + Indicated	1.0	3,469,700	2.78	16.5	0.22	309,800	1,842,200	7,600
	1.5	2,551,100	3.34	18.5	0.24			
	2.0	1,898,500	3.88	20.2	0.27			
	2.5	1,421,900	4.44	21.8	0.30			
	3.0	1,097,900	4.94	23.0	0.32			
Inferred	1.0	156,300	1.72	8.5	0.09	8,600	42,700	100
	1.5	78,612	2.21	9.2	0.10			
	2.0	38,059	2.73t	11.1	0.12			
	2.5	18,169	3.28	13.1	0.14			
	3.0	7,589	4.04	15.6	0.18			

Notes:

1. The effective date for this mineral resource estimate for La Fortuna project is July 13, 2018. All material tonnes and metal values are undiluted.
2. Mineral Resources are calculated assuming a cut-off grade of 1.0 g/t Au, which is considered reasonable and consistent for this type of deposit with open pit mining methods.
3. Mineral resources which are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of mineral resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, socio-political, marketing, or other relevant issues.
4. The mineral resources presented here were estimated using a block model with a parent block size of 5 m by 5 m by 5 m sub-blocked to a minimum block size of 0.6 m by 0.6 m by 0.6 m using ID3 methods for grade estimation as this method best represented the grade distribution in the sample data.
5. Due to the geometry of the deposit and the nature of the grade distribution, the estimation was divided between the upper and lower portions of the mineralized volume with search parameters optimized for each portion.
6. Individual composite assays were capped at the following values according to histogram/probability and decile analyses &ndash; 30 g/t gold, 60 g/t silver, 1% copper
7. A density of 2.65 t/m<sup>3</sup> was chosen for the tonnage estimate. Data available from dry bulk density studies indicated an average density of 2.72 t/m<sup>3</sup> for mineralized material, while the quartz monzonite material had an average density of 2.61 t/m<sup>3</sup>. The value of 2.65 was chosen by averaging the two then rounding down to the nearest 0.05 interval to be conservative
8. The mineral resources presented here were estimated using the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (CIM), CIM Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves, Definitions and Guidelines prepared by the CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions and adopted by CIM Council May 10, 2014.
9. The mineral resource estimate was prepared by Scott Zelligan, B.Sc., P.Geo., and independent resource geologist of Coldwater, Ontario.
10. Gold price is US\$1,250/ounce, silver price is US\$16/ounce, and copper price is US\$5,725/tonne.
11. The number of metric tonnes is rounded to the nearest hundred. Any discrepancies in the totals are due to rounding effects.

Mining

The mineralization at the Project extends close to surface and is amenable to conventional open pit mining methods utilizing front-end loaders and trucks. Using a preliminary Whittle pit shell for the deposit (\$1,250/oz gold, \$2.50/t mining, \$30.00/t processing, 95% recovery, 45-degree pit slopes) as a guide a full open pit mine plan was completed. LOM mineralized material was separated in grade baskets (+0.8, 1.2, 1.6, 2.0 g/t Au) so that a more complete engineering cost evaluation could be completed and used as the basis of a final five-year production plan for the project. Mineralized material was grouped as Direct Milling (>1.6 g/t Au) and Mid-Grade (0.8 &ndash; 1.6 g/t Au) which is to be stockpiled and upgraded via ore sorting prior to milling (starting Year 3). No inferred resources were utilized in the PEA mine planning and further optimization efforts aimed at cut-off grades and the smoothing of waste mining activities may provide additional economic upside for the project.

Table 6 &ndash; Fortuna Processing Plant Mill Feed Schedule (diluted)

Year	Total Mill Feed (tonnes)	Au (g/t)	Ag (g/t)	Cu (%)	Gold (ounces)	Total Mined Material (tonnes)
1	380,000	3.86	21.24	0.29	47,200	2,814,400
2	380,000	3.91	20.27	0.27	47,800	2,848,200
3	410,000	3.39	21.85	0.28	44,700	2,335,700
4	410,000	3.47	19.98	0.29	45,800	4,637,200
5	418,400	3.78	16.79	0.22	50,900	3,095,700
	1,998,400	3.68	19.96	0.27	236,600	15,731,200

## Notes:

1. Mill Feed totals include direct milling material (1,626,000 tonnes) and mid-grade stockpiled material upgraded starting in Year 3 via crushed ore sorting (372,400 tonnes).
2. Mine dilution applied as follows &ndash; 10% for direct milling material (dilution grade equivalent to average grade of next lower mine grade basket) and 25% for low-grade material to stockpile (0.5 g/t Au dilution grade)
3. Total mined material values include all production from open pit mine (mineralization + waste) for noted intervals.

Pit bench heights were selected at 5m intervals in order to provide good ore/waste selectivity although use of larger bench heights in zones of primarily waste should be considered as part of future optimization studies. Overall average pit slopes with the benches/ramps in place are approximately 43 degrees for three sides and 41 degrees overall for the north wall. Rock competency is reasonable and higher pit slopes may be considered once the appropriate geotechnical information is available. Mineralized and waste materials are hauled using 25t trucks approximately 500m (maximum) to the waste dumps/mineral stockpile locations near the mine. Crushed stockpile material is then transported to the plant processing facilities located at a distance less than 1.5km.

All drilling/mining/crushing operations at the Project will be accomplished via an open pit mining contractor. Mining costs were developed for the project from first principals utilizing recent Mexican cost information. Contractor availability in northern Mexico is currently high and rates are competitive. An appropriate profit factor was applied to the calculated owner operator rates and the values were benchmarked against recent operational experience by Minera Alamos mining personnel. An additional factor was applied to account for the fact that the project is located a few hours from a major population base. Mine planning and supervision activities will be performed by Minera Alamos personnel and these costs are excluded from contractor rates.

## Processing

A simplified base case process was utilized for the La Fortuna plant site. Mineralized material from the mine is stockpiled and crushed to a size of <3/4" prior to being transported to the process plant. The overall processing facilities consist of a primary coarse grind to 80% passing 250-300 microns followed by a bulk sulphide concentrate flotation. Bulk concentrate is reground (80 microns) prior to a final flotation producing a copper concentrate. Centrifugal gravity gold recovery circuits are included in both the primary and concentrate reground circuits to extract free gold as a concentrate. Tailings from the flotation circuit are dewatered via filtration and dry-stacked in the tailings containment area adjacent to the processing plant.

Overall gold recovery for the PEA study has been conservatively estimated at 90%. No final gold refining facilities are to be constructed at the Fortuna site although this decision can be revisited in the future should site production rates increase. Approximately half of the gold is extracted as a gravity concentrate which will be cyanide leached at site and loaded onto activated carbon for shipping outside of Mexico for final doré production. The other half of the recovered gold reports to the copper flotation concentrate (along with the

majority of the copper and silver) which is filtered and transported to the port facilities at Guaymas (approximately 500 km) for final sale.

The Company has purchased a used 2000 tpd processing facility (grinding/flotation/filtration) that has been used as the basis for the Fortuna project processing facilities. The size of the major equipment items allows for plant throughput to be increased from the currently assumed 1100 tpd rate as the size of the project resource increases.

DEXTR (x-ray) ore sorting has been included in the overall project plans as a method to upgrade mid-grade (0.8-2.0 g/t Au) mineralized material from the mine (and future potential project resources). Testwork has demonstrated that sorting of this material at normal project crush sizes can recover +80% of the contained gold into a sorted concentrate with gold contents similar to the high grade (3.5 &ndash; 4.0 g/t Au) direct milling material from the mine. It is conservatively assumed that an ore sorting machine will be purchased and installed in Year 3 of mining operations to upgrade this material. In the current operations plan only 20% of the LOM contained gold ounces sent to the processing plant have been upgraded in this manner.

Table 7 - Summary of La Fortuna Metallurgical Results

Product	Grade			Metal Recoveries (%)		
	Au (g/t)	Silver (g/t)	Copper (%)	Au	Silver	Copper
Mill Feed (LOM)	3.68	20	0.27			
Products						
Gravity Concentrate* <sup>1</sup>	N/A			45		
Copper Flotation Concentrate	120	1250	18	45	85	90

\*<sup>1</sup>Gravity concentrate is leached in cyanide and adsorbed onto activated carbon for shipping offsite for final processing. For PEA modelling purposes it was assumed that gold was the only material payable metal recovered by gravity  
Infrastructure

#### Access

The La Fortuna project is accessible by road from Culiacan (Sinaloa state capital &ndash; population approx. 1MM), a driving distance of approximately 85 kilometers. At present the road is paved to within approximately 30 km of the town El Barco which is situated at the river immediately south of the project area. The remaining road is graveled, graded and of reasonable width for much of the route. It has been anticipated that some relatively minor upgrading of portions of this road (primarily in areas with a sharp turning radius) will be required in order to improve access for larger trucks to reach the Fortuna project area.

Preliminary engineering has been completed to locate new access roads within the project area required for start of the La Fortuna operations. This includes a total of approximately 5 km of gravel surface suitable for the operation of mining trucks.

- Road from planned open pit to new processing plant area &ndash; 1.5 km
- Initial mine truck access roads around planned open pit &ndash; 2 km
- Miscellaneous additional access roads around mine/plant -- 1.5 km

#### Power

The closest small villages to the Project site (El Barco and San Fernando) have less than 100 inhabitants and are currently not serviced via the national power grid. Grid power is being extended along the state

highway from Culiacan as it is widened and paved (currently within 30km of the project) but it is unknown when it will ultimately be available and what load capacity would exist.

It is assumed for the foreseeable future that all power required for the Project will be generated at site via diesel generators. The total operating plant power load is estimated at approximately 2MW which will be supplied via multiple generator units (operating + standby) to build in redundancy for maintenance, etc. Primary generators are to be located within close proximity to the processing plant area so site power line requirements will be negligible. Wherever possible, large power consumers not associated with the processing plant (i.e. portable crushers) are self-contained with local diesel hydraulic/electric generation. Small auxiliary generators will be utilized as necessary for minor requirements (i.e. plant camp/offices).

At current fuel prices in Mexico power generation via diesel equipment is equivalent to an electric power cost of \$0.25-0.30/kWh which has been used for budgeting. Should grid power eventually arrive at the project area, power costs for the project would be reduced by 50% or more.

## Water Management

The Humaya river flows roughly northwest-southeast approximately 500m from the planned La Fortuna processing plant area. This river has a year-round supply of flowing surface water and discussions with the relevant permitting authorities have indicated that the project would be permitted to extract river water directly for process uses. In addition, a seasonal creek bed that runs east-west and connects with the Humaya river is located a few hundred meters south of the plant site. Hydrogeological studies are underway to establish optimal sources of groundwater that would also be suitable for the project's requirements.

Based on local observations, it is expected that river/ground water levels occur at the 250-300m elevation (above sea level). Water would be pumped from this elevation the short distance to the plant site which is located just above 500m (above sea level). Process water removed from the plant filtered tailings will be recycled as much as possible in order to minimize fresh process water make-up requirements.

## Permitting Status

The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for mining projects in Mexico starts with an application for the following primary permitting documents:

- MIA - Manifestación de Impacto Ambiental (Environmental Impact Statement)
- ETJ - Estudio Técnico Justificativo (Technical Justification Study) that includes the ER - Estudio de Riesgo (Risk PPA - Programa de Prevención de Accidentes (Accident Prevention Program)

Following the completion of the EIA process a number of other registrations and local/state permits are required before the start of commercial production. Important among these are water rights through the Comisión de Agua (National Water Commission or CONAGUA), permits for the storage and use of explosives as well as construction permits from the local municipality.

The MIA-ETJ permit applications were submitted by Minera Alamos for the La Fortuna project in 2018 and are pending. The submitted permitting documents included an expanded scope of processing facilities that included additional stages not required for the current start-up plan (i.e. concentrate cyanidation and detoxification). This provides the company with added flexibility in the future to modify the existing operation in order to accommodate new potential regional sources of mineralization.

The Company does not currently own any surface rights in the La Fortuna area. The surface rights over the area are held jointly by the residents of the Tabahueto ejido (a Mexican agricultural cooperative). In 2016 the Company started the discussions with the local ejido regarding the necessary surface rights for the development of the La Fortuna project. On February 16<sup>th</sup>, 2017 at a general meeting the community voted unanimously to enter into a 25-year agreement to rent 235 Ha of surface area required by the Company (agreement signed formally in June 2017).

## Sensitivity Analysis

Table 8 - Sensitivity Analysis (7.5% discount / after-tax)

NPV (\$million)	Input Factor						
	-30%	-20%	-10%	Base	+10%	+20%	+30%
Input							
Metal Prices/Recovery	25.1	40.0	54.9	69.8	84.7	99.6	114.5
OPEX	84.1	79.3	74.6	69.8	65.0	60.3	55.5
CAPEX	77.3	74.8	72.3	69.8	67.3	64.8	62.3

### Project Opportunities

The PEA identifies several project opportunities to further enhance project economics. These include:

- Footprint of the current known deposit is very small compared to the overall land position. Exploration potential exists within the 6200 Ha land package. A number of other areas of historical mining activities have been identified but most of them has never been explored using modern exploration methods.
- No Inferred resources have been utilized in the current mining plans. Further step out drilling may be able to define extensions of the current resources.
- Further metallurgical test work to optimize the gold extraction process and further improve overall metal recoveries.
- A staged plant construction plan (possibly involving earlier use of ore sorting) to further reduce the initial start-up costs and then expand the facilities once production is underway.
- Additional mine planning optimization studies to evaluate opportunities to delay portions of early waste removal until later in the mine life.
- Further optimization studies are underway to determine if a more aggressive use of ore sorting may offer additional benefits for the project (i.e. plant CAPEX reductions, increased mineable gold ounces, etc.)
- Trade-off studies aimed at optimizing cut-off grades (w/wo ore sorting) and the incorporation of additional milling capacity. The project is permitted for a 2,000 tpd operation with the PEA based on a starting rate of 1,100 tpd.

### Qualified Person Statements

The 2018 PEA was prepared and led by CSA Global Geosciences Canada Ltd., in collaboration with other consultants, all Qualified Persons ("QPs") as defined under Canadian National Instrument 43-101. The QPs have reviewed and approved the content of this news release. All of the QPs are "independent" of the Company pursuant to National Instrument 43-101. The executive summary of the 2018 PEA, and subsequently a technical report will be posted on the Company's website and filed on SEDAR within 45 days.

The PEA was conducted under the overall review and supervision of CSA Global Geosciences Canada Ltd of Toronto Ontario with the following Qualified Persons contributing to their respective sections. The listed Qualified Persons have reviewed the data contained in this news release and verified that it is accurately disclosed.

Felix Lee	P.Geo., Principal Consultant, CSA Global Geosciences Canada
Ian Trinder	P.Geo. Principal Consultant, CSA Global Geosciences Canada
Scott Zelligan	P.Geo., Independent Resource Geologist
Bruce Brady	P.Eng., Senior Associate Mining Engineer, CSA Global Geosciences Canada
Chris Campbell-Hicks	P.Eng., Senior Associate Metallurgist, CSA Global Geosciences Canada
Gordon Watts	P.Eng., Senior Associate Mining Engineer, CSA Global Geosciences Canada

Mr. Darren Koningen, P.Eng, a 'Qualified Person' as defined under Canadian National Instrument 43-101, is responsible for the other technical information (information not directly related to the PEA) in this news release.

#### Conference Call

The Company plans to host a telephone conference call to discuss the PEA results and general corporate plans. Conference call information will be provided publicly in the near future.

#### About Minera Alamos:

Minera Alamos is an advanced stage exploration and development company. Its growing portfolio of high-grade Mexican projects includes the La Fortuna open pit gold project in Durango and the Guadalupe de los Reyes gold/silver project in Sinaloa as well as the now combined Santana/Los Verdes gold-copper project in Sonora. The Company is well financed to conduct all of its planned exploration and development activities and continues to pursue additional project acquisitions in Latin America.

Additional information about Minera Alamos Inc. and its La Fortuna Gold Project, can be found on the Company's website at [www.mineraalamos.com](http://www.mineraalamos.com) and on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com).

#### ON BEHALF OF MINERA ALAMOS INC.

"Darren Koningen"  
CEO & Director

#### NON-GAAP Financial Performance Measures

The Company has included certain non-GAAP performance measures (All-in Sustaining Cost &ndash; "AISC") in this document. The Company believes that, in addition to conventional measures prepared in accordance with GAAP, certain investors and other stakeholders also use this information to evaluate the Company's economic performance estimates; however, these non-GAAP performance measures do not have any standardized meaning. Accordingly, these performance measures are intended to provide additional information and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance prepared in accordance with GAAP. The Company's primary business is gold asset development and maximizing returns from future gold production, with other metal production being incidental to the gold production process. As a result, where applicable, the Company's non-GAAP performance measures are disclosed on a per gold ounce basis. The Company has followed the guidance note released by the World Gold Council, which became effective January 1, 2014. The World Gold Council is a non-regulatory market development organization for the gold industry whose members comprise global senior gold mining companies.

Caution Regarding Forward-Looking Statements:

This news release may contain forward-looking information and Minera Alamos cautions readers that forward-looking information is based on certain assumptions and risk factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the expectations of Minera Alamos included in this news release. This news release includes certain "forward-looking statements", which often, but not always, can be identified by the use of words such as "believes", "anticipates", "expects", "estimates", "may", "could", "would", "will", or "plan". These statements are based on information currently available to Minera Alamos and Minera Alamos provides no assurance that actual results will meet management's expectations. Forward-looking statements include estimates and statements with respect to Minera Alamos' future plans with respect to the Projects, objectives or goals, to the effect that Minera Alamos or management expects a stated condition or result to occur and the expected timing for release of a resource and reserve estimate on the Projects. Since forward-looking statements are based on assumptions and address future events and conditions, by their very nature they involve inherent risks and uncertainties. Actual results relating to, among other things, results of exploration, the economics of processing methods, project development, reclamation and capital costs of Minera Alamos' mineral properties, the ability to complete a preliminary economic assessment which supports the technical and economic viability of mineral production could differ materially from those currently anticipated in such statements for many reasons. Minera Alamos' financial condition and prospects could differ materially from those currently anticipated in such statements for many reasons such as: an inability to finance and/or complete an updated resource and reserve estimate and a preliminary economic assessment which supports the technical and economic viability of mineral production; changes in general economic conditions and conditions in the financial markets; changes in demand and prices for minerals; litigation, legislative, environmental and other judicial, regulatory, political and competitive developments; technological and operational difficulties encountered in connection with Minera Alamos' activities; and other matters discussed in this news release and in filings made with securities regulators. This list is not exhaustive of the factors that may affect any of Minera Alamos' forward-looking statements. These and other factors should be considered carefully and readers should not place undue reliance on Minera Alamos' forward-looking statements. Minera Alamos does not undertake to update any forward-looking statement that may be made from time to time by Minera Alamos or on its behalf, except in accordance with applicable securities laws.

NEITHER TSX VENTURE EXCHANGE NOR ITS REGULATION SERVICES PROVIDER (AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED IN THE POLICIES OF THE TSX VENTURE EXCHANGE) ACCEPTS RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ADEQUACY OR ACCURACY OF THIS RELEASE.

SOURCE [Minera Alamos Inc.](#)

Contact

[Minera Alamos Inc.](#): Darren Koningen, CEO, Tel: 416-306-0990, Email: [dkoningen@mineraalamos.com](mailto:dkoningen@mineraalamos.com), Website: [www.mineraalamos.com](http://www.mineraalamos.com); Doug Ramshaw, President, Tel: 604-600-4423, Email: [dramshaw@mineraalamos.com](mailto:dramshaw@mineraalamos.com)

---

Dieser Artikel stammt von [Rohstoff-Welt.de](#)

Die URL für diesen Artikel lautet:

<https://www.rohstoff-welt.de/news/306625--Minera-Alamos-Announces-Positive-Preliminary-Economic-Assessment-for-the-La-Fortuna-Gold-Project-with-After>

Für den Inhalt des Beitrages ist allein der Autor verantwortlich bzw. die aufgeführte Quelle. Bild- oder Filmrechte liegen beim Autor/Quelle bzw. bei der vom ihm benannten Quelle. Bei Übersetzungen können Fehler nicht ausgeschlossen werden. Der vertretene Standpunkt eines Autors spiegelt generell nicht die Meinung des Webseiten-Betreibers wieder. Mittels der Veröffentlichung will dieser lediglich ein pluralistisches Meinungsbild darstellen. Direkte oder indirekte Aussagen in einem Beitrag stellen keinerlei Aufforderung zum Kauf-/Verkauf von Wertpapieren dar. Wir wehren uns gegen jede Form von Hass, Diskriminierung und Verletzung der Menschenwürde. Beachten Sie bitte auch unsere [AGB/Disclaimer!](#)

Die Reproduktion, Modifikation oder Verwendung der Inhalte ganz oder teilweise ohne schriftliche Genehmigung ist untersagt!  
Alle Angaben ohne Gewähr! Copyright © by Rohstoff-Welt.de -1999-2026. Es gelten unsere [AGB](#) und [Datenschutzrichtlinien](#).