

Carlisle Announces Optimized PEA of the Farley and MacLellan Deposits at Lynn Lake Returns Post-Tax IRR of 26.3% at US\$1100 Gold Price

27.02.2014 | [Newsfile](#)

Toronto, Ontario -- (Newsfile Corp. - February 27, 2014) - [Carlisle Goldfields Limited](#) (TSX: CGJ) ("Carlisle" or the "Company") is pleased to announce that it has received the results of an optimized NI 43-101 compliant Preliminary Economic Assessment ("PEA") of the Farley and MacLellan gold deposits at the Company's Lynn Lake Gold Camp project ("Lynn Lake") in Manitoba, Canada. The results of the PEA include a post-tax Net Present Value ("NPV") at a 5% discount rate of \$257 million with a post-tax Internal Rate of Return ("IRR") of 26% on initial capital costs of \$185 million including contingencies. Carlisle engaged Tetra Tech to complete the optimized PEA.

PEA Highlights:

Highlights from the PEA, with the base case gold ("Au") price of US\$1100 per ounce ("oz"), \$18.33/oz Silver ("Ag") price and \$0.90 Exchange Rate ("Fx") are as follows (all figures are in Canadian Dollars unless otherwise stated):

Pre-Tax	Post-Tax
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● NPV at 5% of \$411 million ● IRR of 34% ● Net cash flow of \$642 million ● Payback period of 2.6 years 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● NPV at 5% of \$257 million ● IRR of 26.3% ● Net cash flow of \$400 million ● Payback period of 2.6 years

- Initial capital costs of \$185 million, which includes \$35 million in contingency costs
- Annual average mill production of 145,000 oz Au with a peak of 230,000 oz in the 5 year
- Pre-tax total average (C1) Life of Mine ("LOM") cost of \$530 per oz Au and all-in sustaining costs of \$ per oz Au (both are net of silver ("Ag") by-product credits) (?)
- LOM Au production of 1.74 million oz and 1.59 million oz of silver
- LOM average head grade of 2.2 g/t Au
- Central milling facilities with a with an initial milling capacity of 3,750 tonnes per day ("tpd") in years 1-4 and ramping to full capacity of 7,500 tpd in year 5 of a 12 year mine life sequenced between the Farley and MacLellan open pit deposits
- At US\$1300 Au, the post-tax NPV rises to \$400 million with a post-tax IRR of 35%. (using US\$21/oz Ag, \$0.92 Fx)

Abraham Drost, President and CEO of Carlisle states that, "Carlisle's project at Lynn Lake meets the challenge of a US\$1100 gold price base case recently adopted by a senior gold mining company and credit rating agency in the sector. The optimized PEA demonstrates compelling economic viability with some of the lowest-decile proforma capital and operating costs in the sector. The study features a sequenced open pit mining model between the Farley and MacLellan deposits at Carlisle's Lynn Lake Gold Camp and verifies a deep value proposition for Carlisle shareholders with an initial capital cost approximately \$90 million lower than that of the original 10,000 tpd PEA. With the optimized PEA in place at a base case gold price of US\$1100, the Company demonstrates the inherent strength and long term viability of the Lynn Lake gold camp project at current or lower gold price levels in mining-friendly Manitoba (Table 1)."

Table 1 Grade-tonnage (gold-only) parameters of the Farley Lake Mine and MacLellan Mine Projects at the Lynn Lake Gold Camp.

Property	Resource Category	Cut-off Grade Au Equiv., g/t	Tonnage	Au Grade g/t	Ag Grade g/t	Au Equiv. g/t	Contained Metal Au Equiv., oz
MacLellan Mine Project	Measured	0.59	9,100,000	2.03	5.05	2.09	547,000
	Indicated	0.59	8,900,000	1.61	3.85	1.65	421,000
	Inferred	0.59	600,000	1.79	3.50	1.84	32,000
Farley Lake Mine Project	Measured	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Indicated	0.67	5,300,000	3.31	-	3.31	528,000
	Inferred	0.67	3,100,000	2.57	-	2.57	240,000
Carlisle PEA Total	Measured	-	9,100,000	2.03	5.05	2.09	547,000
	Indicated	-	14,200,000	2.24	2.41	2.27	949,000
	Inferred	-	3,700,000	2.44	0.57	2.45	272,000

Notes:

1. CIM definitions were followed for estimating mineral resource.
2. Assumed mining dilution rate 5%.
3. Mineral Resources are estimated using a diluted cut-off grade (AU Equiv.)
4. Mineral Resources are estimated using a gold price of US\$1,241/oz, and a Silver price US\$20/oz.
5. Mineral Resources are estimated using a gold recovery rate 89.3% and 93.7% for MacLellan and Farley separately.
6. Mineral Resources are estimated using a silver recovery rate 61% for MacLellan only.
7. There are no known risks that could materially affect potential development.

Graph 1: Total Annual Ounces (Au) Per Year vs. Annual C1 Costs (Pre-tax)

http://orders.newsfilecorp.com/files/744/8880_newsenhancedx2x1.jpg

Capital and Operating Costs

The total estimated initial capital costs for development of the Farley and MacLellan deposits at the Lynn Lake Gold Camp is \$185 million, which includes a 23% contingency allowance of approximately \$35 million. Graph 1 shows the annual production and associated operating costs per oz for each year over the LOM. Graph 2 highlights the comparison of operating cost vs. gross revenues (excluding processing costs and royalty costs). Tables 2 and 3 highlight the Lynn Lake Gold Camp capital cost and operating cost summaries.

Graph 2: Operating Costs vs. Gross Revenues

http://orders.newsfilecorp.com/files/744/8880_newsenhancedx3x1.jpg

**Note: totals may not represent the exact sum of report due to rounding.*

Table 2. Lynn Lake Gold Camp Capital Cost Summary

Category	Initial Capex (\$ millions)	Sustaining Capex LOM (\$ millions)	Total Cost LOM (\$ millions)
Mining	35.14	109.50	144.6
Processing	54.89	38.23	93.12
Tailings Management Facility	14.24	15.75	29.99
Infrastructure	18.42	3.65	22.07
Environmental	1.10	1.10	2.20
Subtotal Direct Capital Costs	123.79	168.23	292.02
Indirect Capital Cost	22.73	21.97	44.70
Owner's Costs including closing bond	3.46	3.07	6.53
Contingency	35.05	45.97	81.02
Total Capital Costs	185.03	239.23	424.27

** Note: totals may not represent the exact sum or report due to rounding.*

Table 3. Lynn Lake Gold Camp Operating Cost Summary

Category	Units	LOM Unit Cost (\$)	Total Cost LOM (\$ Millions)
Mining	\$/t-milled	18.14	489.91
Processing	\$/t-milled	17.01	459.14
Haulage	\$/t-milled	1.55	41.72

Tailings	\$/t-milled	0.54	14.54
Site G&A	\$/t-milled	1.62	43.86
Environmental	\$/t-milled	0.13	3.54
Geology	\$/t-milled	0.15	4.17
Total Operating Costs	\$/t-milled	39.14	1,056.88
Total Payable Revenues LOM (excludes refining & royalty costs)			2,158.12

**Note: totals may not represent the exact sum or report due to rounding.*

Comparative Table

On December 2, 2013, Carlisle announced the results of its initial 10,000 tpd PEA (the "Initial PEA"), completed by Tetra Tech. The following table compares the optimized PEA ("OPEA") vs. the 10 ktpd Initial PEA ("Initial PEA").

Category	OPEA at Au US\$1100 (Base Case)	OPEA at Au US\$1300	Initial PEA at Au US\$1300
Tonnes per day	7,500	7,500	10,000
Ag price (US\$/oz)	18.33	21.00	21.00
Exchange (CDN:US)	0.90	0.92	0.98
Initial Capital Costs	\$185 mm	\$185 mm	\$274 mm
Pre-tax NPV	\$411 mm	\$644 mm	\$625 mm
Pre-tax IRR	34%	45%	34%
Pre-tax net cash flow	\$642 mm	\$972 mm	\$946 mm
Pre-tax payback	2.6 yrs	1.8 yrs	2.4 yrs
Post-tax NPV	\$257 mm	\$400 mm	\$377 mm
Post-tax IRR	26%	35%	26%
Post-tax net cash flow	\$422 mm	\$623 mm	\$603 mm
Post-tax payback	2.8 yrs	1.9 yrs	2.8 yrs

Sensitivity Analysis

The Lynn Lake Gold Camp project economics are most sensitive to foreign exchange rate and gold price risk. Table 4 shows the project's sensitivity summary.

Table 4. Lynn Lake Economic Sensitivity Summary

FX	Au Price	Opex	Capex
Post-tax NPV (\$ million)			
-20% \$486	\$60	\$353	\$328
-10% \$358	\$162	\$305	\$293
Base \$257	\$257	\$257	\$257
10% \$170	\$346	\$210	\$221
20% \$92	\$438	\$161	\$186
Post-tax IRR (\$ million)			
-20% 40.0%	10.9%	32.0%	36.7%
-10% 32.5%	19.4%	29.2%	31.1%
Base 26.3%	26.3%	26.3%	26.3%
10% 20.0%	31.8%	23.2%	22.2%
20% 13.7%	37.4%	19.8%	18.6%

The PEA Technical Report will be filed on SEDAR within 45 days of this news release. The information presented summarizes the results of the PEA for a mine and processing scenario based on an NI 43-101 compliant mineral resource estimate prepared by P&E Mining consultants which includes assay data up to May 1, 2013.

The PEA was prepared by Tetra Tech in accordance with the standards of NI 43-101. The PEA is considered preliminary in nature. It includes inferred mineral resource estimates that are too speculative to have the economic considerations applied that would enable classifications as mineral reserves. There is no certainty that the conclusions within the PEA will be realized. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.

Quality Assurance

Mike McLaughlin, P.Eng. is a Principal Project Manager and Mine Engineer of Tetra Tech and conducted the PEA Project management. He is a Qualified Person under the requirements of NI 43-101 and has reviewed the technical content of this press release and approved its dissemination.

Rick Adams, P.Eng., Chief Operating Officer and Peter Karelse, P.Geo., VP Exploration of Carlisle are Qualified Persons under the terms of NI 43-101 and have reviewed the technical content of this press release on behalf of the Company and approved its dissemination.

About Carlisle:

[Carlisle Goldfields Ltd.](#) is a Canadian-based gold exploration and development Company focused on development of its Lynn Lake Gold Camp in Lynn Lake, Manitoba, Canada. Carlisle now has five NI 43-101 compliant mineral resource estimates within its Lynn Lake Gold Camp, four of which form the basis for the December, 2013 PEA (Farley Lake Mine Deposit, MacLellan Mine Deposit, Burnt Timber Mine Deposit, and Linkwood Deposit).

Carlisle's objective, together with its government and First Nation project partners, is to efficiently advance this project through bankable feasibility study, environmental and mine permitting to set the stage for resumption of gold production in the historical Lynn Lake mining camp, Manitoba.

Further details including mineral resource technical reports are available on SEDAR (www.sedar.com) or carlislegold.com/resource-summary.php.

To view an enhanced version of this image, please visit:
http://orders.newsfilecorp.com/files/744/8880_newsenhancedx6x1.jpg

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION PLEASE CONTACT BELOW

[Carlisle Goldfields Ltd.](#)
2702 401 BAY STREET
TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA
info@carlislegold.com
www.carlislegold.com

Nicholas Konkin
Director of Investor Communications
416-642-0869 ext. 3 or nk@carlislegold.com

Abraham Drost, President & CEO
807-252-7800 or adrost@carlislegold.com

This press release shall not constitute an offer to sell or solicitation of an offer to buy the securities in any jurisdiction. The Company's shares and other securities have not been and will not be registered under the United States Securities Act of 1933 and may not be offered or sold in the United States absent an applicable exemption from the registration requirements.

Except for statements of historical fact contained herein, the information in this press release may constitute "forward-looking information" within the meaning of Canadian securities law. Other than statements of historical fact, all statements are "forward-looking statements", including the establishment and estimate of resources that involve various known and unknown risks and uncertainties and other factors. There can be no assurance that such statements will prove accurate. Results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Readers of this press release are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these "forward-looking statements". Except as otherwise required by applicable securities statutes or regulation, Carlisle expressly disclaims any intent or obligation to update publicly forward-looking information, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Neither IIROC nor the TSX accepts responsibility for the adequacy or accuracy of this release.

Dieser Artikel stammt von [Rohstoff-Welt.de](https://www.rohstoff-welt.de)

Die URL für diesen Artikel lautet:

<https://www.rohstoff-welt.de/news/167537--Carlisle-Announces-Optimized-PFA-of-the-Farley-and-MacLellan-Deposits-at-Lynn-Lake>Returns-Post-Tax-IRR-of->

Für den Inhalt des Beitrages ist allein der Autor verantwortlich bzw. die aufgeführte Quelle. Bild- oder Filmrechte liegen beim Autor/Quelle bzw. bei der vom ihm benannten Quelle. Bei Übersetzungen können Fehler nicht ausgeschlossen werden. Der vertretene Standpunkt eines Autors spiegelt generell nicht die Meinung des Webseiten-Betreibers wieder. Mittels der Veröffentlichung will dieser lediglich ein pluralistisches Meinungsbild darstellen. Direkte oder indirekte Aussagen in einem Beitrag stellen keinerlei Aufforderung zum Kauf-/Verkauf von Wertpapieren dar. Wir wehren uns gegen jede Form von Hass, Diskriminierung und Verletzung der Menschenwürde. Beachten Sie bitte auch unsere [AGB/Disclaimer!](#)

Die Reproduktion, Modifikation oder Verwendung der Inhalte ganz oder teilweise ohne schriftliche Genehmigung ist untersagt!
Alle Angaben ohne Gewähr! Copyright © by Rohstoff-Welt.de -1999-2026. Es gelten unsere [AGB](#) und [Datenschutzrichtlinien](#).